



Proletarians of all countries, unite!

To Defend the People's War in India and the CPI(Maoist) is to Defend its General Line and its combat to Revisionism¹

“The revisionist positions of the renegade Sonu and his clique and his betrayal are not an exclusive phenomenon from India; they are also an expression of revisionist positions existing in the ICM, where there are open and concealed advocates of the Renegade Sonu. This is why we must assume the lesson from the events and to take what happened with Sonu's clique of traitors as an important warning for the ICM and a calling to raise the combat to revisionism and all opportunism, and particularly against the capitulationist and traitorous tendencies. **Closing ranks with the CPI (Maoist) is to combat the followers of Sonu in the ICM, sweeping away all the revisionist and opportunist positions that converge with his positions.**” (*Reaction is bound to fall, and the People's War in India is bound to triumph! International Communist League – ICL*)

“Comrade Basavaraj's fall in combat is a painful loss for the communists and masses of the whole world, we incline our mourning and flaming red flags and we chant louder our hymns of combat before his example. **Before his memory, we renew our commitment with our Class to sweep away imperialism and all reaction from the face of Earth with People's War until Communism.**” (*Comrade Basavaraj is immortal! Let us follow his example, let us open the dawn of new times with fire! – Communist Party of Brazil – P.C.B.*)

The Communist Party of India (Maoist), the heroic combatant of the Indian and international proletariat, is the glorious vanguard detachment, continuer of the Spring Thunder of Naxalbari – the historical peasant armed uprising. We ardently salute the

¹<https://communistinternational1.wordpress.com/2025/12/24/pcb-statement-on-the-peoples-war-in-india/>

intrepid leadership of the CPI(Maoist), its Central Committee, for its firm conduction in the midst of the hard and bloody combats and the crucial ideological-political struggles, namely to defeat the campaign of encirclement and annihilation “Operation Kagaar” by the Old Indian State and the Hindutva Brahmanic fascism of Modi and to completely smash the revisionist and capitulationist opportunist rightist line of Sonu’s clique (from within and outside the Party) and to persist in the path of revolution. This counterrevolutionary operation against the CPI(Maoist), the PLGA, the revolutionary organs of government and the popular masses, in other words, against the People’s War, is an operation that converges with the bureaucratic-semifeudal Indian reaction, imperialism, principally Yankee, and revisionism, uselessly aiming to destroy the Red Power in India and its heroic proletarian vanguard.

In the last decades, the People’s War in India suffered many large-scale encirclement and annihilation campaigns against the revolutionary bases: “Salwa Jundu”, “Green Hunt”, “Samandhan”, “Prahaar” and, currently “Kagaar”. In the glorious Chinese Revolution, in the first Agrarian Revolutionary War, the CPC – the Red Army of Workers and Peasants and the Central Soviet Government of the liberated regions in the border zone of Hunan-Kiangsi – headed by Chairman Mao faced five big encirclement and annihilation campaigns from the army of Chang Kai-shek, and they defeated the first four. Due to a reckless and adventurist “left” opportunist line prevailing in the leadership of the Party – making successive and failed assaults to big cities – the revolutionary forces suffered irreparable losses. Weakened, the revolutionary contingent was not able to defeat the fifth campaign of the enemy, who had set camp at the foot of the mountains, built fortifications and deployed a great quantity of troops and means of war and imposed heavy losses to revolution and forced the whole revolutionary contingent to abandon the central base area. By breaking the siege in a great strategic retreat, which afterwards turned into the epic Long March already under the leadership of Chairman Mao, which defeated the erroneous military line prevailing in the Central Committee and imposed the strategy and tactics with which he had established the great base area in Chingkang mountains, being elected to preside over the Party.

Chairman Mao, by intrepidly combating the reactionaries of the Kuomintang and opportunism in the midst of the CPC and drawing the correct lessons from the previous experience, was able to, at the Tsunyi Conference, transform the initially disordered retreat into the heroic and victorious Long March. We are sure that the CPI(Maoist) will also emerge victorious by following the example of intrepidity of Chairman Mao; facing the hard heat of class struggle and the sagacious handling of the two line struggle in the midst of the Party, they will step ahead stronger and purified for greater victories of the People’s War and for the New Democratic Revolution towards the conquest of total Power in India, serving the World Proletarian Revolution.

Operation “Kagaar” has inflicted heavy damage to the leadership of the CPI(Maoist) in the clashes against the military forces of the Old Indian State. In order to achieve these

goals, the Indian reaction has counted on the action of the revisionist right capitulationist and liquidationist fifth column, who, behind the lines of revolution has aided the attacks of the reactionary army by providing information to the enemy and spreading a revisionist and capitulationist right opportunist line in the midst of the leadership of the Party. The revisionist clique of Sonu and Satish – preceded by the liquidationist Balraj – acted as a force of the reaction in the midst of the revolutionary movement. At least since the beginning of 2025, Sonu was making a right capitulationist and liquidationist assessment, accusing the CC of taking a dogmatic and militaristic leftist position. Sonu then started to propagate openly revisionist positions that rejected the path of the Protracted People's War in India, the principality of the work in the countryside in relation to the city and the principality of the contradiction between masses and semi-feudality in the Country. Ultimately rejected the line of democratic revolution and the military line of the CPI(Maoist), the validity of the New Democratic Revolution, the Protracted People's War and the path of encircling the city from the countryside – worn out cackle of hardened revisionists in the ICM.

As a typical revisionist, he started to defend these traitorous and capitulationist positions covered with a coat of “good intentions”, aiming to “correct the errors”, “overcome the hardships” and to “save the revolutionary movement”. The proposal of capitulation to the enemy was presented by him as a “temporary suspension of the armed struggle”. A lying and traitorous trap. The essence of the traitorous and revisionist position was widely opened on October 15th, when a smiling Sonu appeared in front of the cameras handing over an AK 47 rifle from the PLGA to the Prime Minister of the State of Maharashtra and receiving a copy of the Constitution of the Old Indian State from him. However, although the fifth column supported by the troops of the main force of the Indian reaction was able to inflict important damage against the CPI(Maoist), it was not able to reach its goal. The sacrifice of Comrade Basavaraj, together with other 27 comrades, on May this year, was a hard blow against the revisionist line that aimed to drag the majority of the Party into capitulation. The intrepid decision of Comrade Basavaraj, the General Secretary of the Party, in giving his life for the Party and Revolution constituted an important impulse to the red line in the struggle to defeat the revisionist and capitulationist Right Opportunist Line and to expel Sonu and his clique from the Party. The Decision of the CPI(Maoist) to expel and punish Sonu, Satish and their gang therefore represented an important purification of the Party and an indispensable condition to persist in the path of People's War and to defeat Operation Kagaar. We firmly salute this decision, and the CC of CPI(Maoist), and the Comrade who seconded Basavaraj in the leadership of the Party.

In his important declaration of October 2025, the CPI(Maoist) stated that:

“The conciliatory tendencies of Sonu and Satish that were fed for decades have gradually transformed into conciliation, with Operation Kagaar, this conciliatory opportunism has transformed into treason and counterrevolutionary action. **We did not know how to correctly evaluate this process in time.** As a result of this failure,

both of them used their positions in the leadership to inflict a grave harm to the revolutionary movement. **We inform the revolutionary camp that we will review this failure and draw the necessary lessons**”²

One of the particularities of Operation Kagaar in relation to other encirclement and annihilation against the People’s War in India has been the weight of revisionism acting as the fifth column to strike blows against the leadership of the Party from within the revolutionary lines. The CPI(Maoist) denounces that the traitorous revisionists acted with full support of the reaction. Therefore, we reiterate the call of the CPI(Maoist) and the ICL to draw lessons from this situation and increase the combat against revisionism as an inseparable part of the defense of the People’s War in India. To defeat operation Kagaar means to condemn and smash the revisionist positions that aim to generate confusion among the bases of the Party, the combatants of the PLGA and the revolutionary masses.

Impulse the permanent campaign to defend the People’s War in India by demarcating positions with false supporters

The defeat of Operation Kagaar will be the defeat of the enemy’s encirclement in two fronts: the military and the ideological fronts. The ICM therefore must support the CPI(Maoist) in all forms possible in its struggle against the Old Indian State and inseparably to combat the revisionist positions of Sonu and alike; defend the People’s war in India and support the CC of the CPI(Maoist), its powerful ideology Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, and its true and scientific General Political Line.

As it is typical of revisionism, the traitors Sonu and Satish cover up their treason with pseudo-revolutionary statements saying they seek to “save the revolutionary movement”; that they would be surrendering as the only way to “defend revolution in India”. The danger of revisionism consists in this: to cover up capitulation and class treason before the enemy with a Marxist and even a Marxist-Leninist-Maoist coat.

In the ICM, there are “supporters of the People’s War in India” in the digital or virtual media, but who in reality defend contrary and opposed positions to the General Political Line and Program of the CPI(Maoist) for the Indian revolution and revolution in the countries dominated by imperialism. Both in speech and on paper they claim to support the People’s War in India; in virtual practice they covertly defend very similar positions to the gang of Sonu and Satish. In order to support the CPI(Maoist) in this

²“As tendências conciliadoras que Sonu e Satish alimentaram durante décadas se transformaram gradualmente em conciliação, com a operação Kagaar este oportunismo conciliador se transformou em traição e em ação contrarrevolucionária. Não soubemos avaliar corretamente este processo a tempo. Como resultado deste fracasso, ambos utilizaram suas posições de direção para infligir um grave dano ao movimento revolucionário. Informamos ao campo revolucionário que revisaremos este fracasso e extrairemos as lições necessárias.”

difficult moment in which revolution in India goes through and to defeat “Operation Kagaar” it is indispensable to demarcate and combat this fake support because just as the fifth column within the revolutionary lines, these positions act in the ICM to spread opposite opportunist lines that converge with the positions of notorious Indian revisionists who say that the current stage of revolution in that country is already socialist and not new democratic, that the country is an emerging capitalist one and state the nonexistence of semi-feudality, aiming to discredit the ideological-political and military lines of the Party and thus defame it while they make salves of long live the People’s War in India and the CPI(Maoist).

We are referring to the positions in the leadership of the Communist Worker’s Union (MLM) from Colombia [UOC(MLM)], one of the participants of the International Committee to Support the People’s War in India. In the virtual medias, this organization claims to support the People’s War in India, but covertly spread ideological-political positions opposed to the formulations of the CPI(Maoist) in their theoretical materials. In addition, the leadership of UOC(MLM) literally copies theoretical formulations from Indian revisionists in order to sustain to their rotten positions that reject the universal character of the New Democratic Revolution for the countries oppressed by imperialism, that is, colonial, semi-colonial and semi-feudal.

Soon after the announcement of founding the ICL, in December 26th, 2022, UOC(MLM) published a document in their theoretical magazine *Negation of the Negation* issue 6, where they accused the ICL and especially the P.C.B. of having “leftist”, “dogmatic” and “sectarian” positions. These accusations were all refuted by the P.C.B. in the document *The New Democratic Revolution is the main force of the World Proletarian Revolution*. However, the leadership of the UOC has ran away from the debate, among other reasons, because the whole chapter of their magazine that denies the semi-feudal character of the countries dominated by imperialism is literally a plagiarism of two articles from a web-revisionist presents himself virtually as a “maoist” but is a passionate enemy of the CPI(Maoist) and all their sympathizers and supporters.

The whole chapter 5 of the magazine *Negation of the Negation* issue 6, the theoretical organ of UOC(MLM), titled “Regarding semi-feudality and semi-coloniality” from pages 89 to 102, is a plagiarism of the formulations of this web-revisionist from India. The sections “5.1 the theory of Mao on the semi-feudal and sei-colonial social formation” and “5.2 The coincidence of the theory of semi-feudality with theoreticians of neo-liberalism regarding the capitalist ground rent” are altogether 14 pages; from the 71 paragraphs contained in this chapter, 65 are literal copies, translations from English into Spanish, of two articles by this anti-Naxalite Abhinav Sinha titled *Problems of the Revolutionary Communist Movement in India: The Question of Program and Strategy* and *Development of capitalist agriculture in India and the intellectual origins of the fallacy of present semi-feudal thesis*.³

³The articles plagiarized by UOC(MLM) to substantiate its attacks to the ICL and the P.C.B. can be accessed by the links: <https://redpolemique.wordpress.com/2019/06/01/problems-of-the->

UOC(MLM) could allege there was only an editing problem, that their intention was to publish the text from the web-revisionist Sinha, but that due to an error in editing this document, it was in the end included as a chapter in their magazine against the ICL. But that would be another fallacy. Because in addition to mixing up two different texts, the leadership of the UOC(MLM) had the caution of removing almost all the references the text made to India. Therefore we say plagiarism not only because they do not cite the source from where they transcribed exact and long fragments from the texts of Abhinav Sinha, but also for the deception of hiding the true authorship of this revisionist. A double intellectual dishonesty. However, the most important here is to highlight the parts that UOC(MLM) left apart from their work as revisionist scribes. After all, the plagiarized parts were already refuted in the document of the P.C.B. from December 2023.

It is typical of revisionism to hide the essence of their position, the same way as UOC(MLM) hides the parts where Sinha openly attacks the CPI(Maoist) in their plagiarism. As for example this following part that is present in the plagiarized document but that for obvious reasons was not copied by the scribes of UOC(MLM):

“The aim of this paper is to make an intervention in this ongoing debate by going to the fundamental theoretical issues and testing the present Indian political situation as well as the socio-economic conditions **against the Marxist-Leninist-Maoist theoretical fundamentals regarding what a semi-feudal semi-colonial social formation is.** The issues at stake here are principally the determination of production relations in Indian agriculture, the nature of Indian bourgeoisie and the extent of capitalist industrial and financial development in India” (Abhinav Sinha, 2019, our emphasis)

UOC(MLM) plagiarizes and includes in their magazine an article whose objective of the author is to expressly attack the theoretical bases of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, and aims to make this substantiation with a revisionist analysis on the reality of India in open opposition to the Program of the CPI(Maoist). The conclusion of one of the texts from the web-revisionist plagiarized by the UOC is as follows:

“It can be stated with confidence that today India is not a semi-feudal semi-colonial or neo-colonial country. It is a relatively backward post-colonial capitalist society. It is in the stage of Socialist Revolution (...) The problem with them [Indian Maoists] is that they have never undertaken a creative study of the production relations and class structure of India from a Marxist-Leninist-Maoist standpoint. Some of them do not even feel the need to do so! There is a kind of programmatic dogmatism prevalent among them.” (Abhinav Sinha, 2019, italics in the original, our emphasis)

UOC(MLM) claims to defend the CPI(Maoist) but attack what is essential in the Maoist analysis of the historical and current reality of India as well as the Program. UOC(MLM) actually defends the same positions as from a revisionist who, from his

revolutionary-communist-movement-in-india-the-question-of-program-and-strategy and <https://redpolemique.wordpress.com/2012/11/11/development-of-capitalist-agriculture-in-india-and-the-intellectual-origins-of-the-fallacy-of-present-semi-feudal-thesis>.

writing table, judges to understand better about the relations of production in the countryside in India than the CPI(Maoist) in more than half a century of Protracted People's War. Here lies the true theoretical source of UOC(MLM) regarding the analysis of society, both historically and currently, on the the countries dominated by imperialism and one of their ruses in applying it. Not by chance the criticism by the Indian web-revisionist to CPI(Maoist) is the same one addressed by the UOC(MLM) to the ICL and the P.C.B.: **dogmatism, leftism, and sectarianism!!!**

A revisionist analysis on the economical-social formation of India like this one, which aims to deny its semi-feudal and semi-colonial base and the character of its Revolution as New Democratic uninterrupted to Socialism do not constitute any innovation by this web-revisionist plagiarized by the UOC(MLM). In the important document *Changes in the Relations of production in India – Our Political Program*, by the CPI(Maoist), published in January 2021, the Maoist leadership analyses positions like these by Sinha and UOC(MLM) in detail. The CPI(Maoist) makes the following characterization on the positions that reject semifeudality in India:

“The comprador ruling classes brought forth ‘Green Revolution’ in the interests of the imperialists. As a result of this, basing on the distorted capitalist relations that developed in certain areas like Punjab, these classes raised a discussion on the Mode of Production (MoP) in the country. This is a conspiracy to wipe out the basis for Armed Agrarian Revolution and the line of Protracted People's War.”⁴

And:

“The second trend is that India is not at all a semi-feudal country but it has transformed into a capitalist society. This is mainly sponsored by the imperialists and the ruling classes. **Enemy agents, anti-revolutionary forces, opportunist revisionist forces pushed out of the Party and traitorous forces that left the Party and surrendered to the enemy represent this trend.**”⁵

According to information by the CPI(Maoist), Comrade Basavaraj had a distinguished role in the formulation of this important document. The conclusion quoted above could not be more prophetic: the tendency that negates the semifeudal character of India is composed of “enemy agents”, revisionist and traitorous forces that surrendered to the enemy. In 2021, the leadership of the CPI(Maoist) was precisely anticipating the content of the capitulationist revisionist line of Sonu who, in his letter “*Temporary*

⁴“The comprador ruling classes brought forth ‘Green Revolution’ in the interests of the imperialists. As a result of this, basing on the distorted capitalist relations that developed in certain areas like Punjab, these classes raised a discussion on the Mode of Production (MoP) in the country. This is a conspiracy to wipe out the basis for Armed Agrarian Revolution and the line of Protracted People's War. The discussion on Mode of Production began in early 1970s among the academicians and spread to political activists.”

⁵“The second trend is that India is not at all a semi-feudal country but it has transformed into a capitalist society. This is mainly sponsored by the imperialists and the ruling classes. Enemy agents, anti-revolutionary forces, opportunist revisionist forces pushed out of the Party and traitorous forces that left the Party and surrendered to the enemy represent this trend.”

abandonment of the Armed Struggle”, states that: in Indian society *The main contradiction is not between feudalism versus people’s masses anymore, but between bureaucratic-comprador bourgeoisie versus masses*“ and that *“if feudalism is not at the center anymore, the strategy of guerrilla in the countryside is outdated and the struggle must change to the cities, to the industrial belts”*.⁶

Abhinav Sinha, plagiarized by the UOC(MLM), assumes the same revisionist capitulationist position of Sonu, and makes it not only from the theoretical point of view, but also from the political and practical ones. Actually, Sinha has been contributing to the revisionist line of Sonu, defending and making chorus with his traitorous positions. At this moment when the CPI(Maoist) suffer a convergent attack by the reaction, Yankee imperialism and revisionism, Sinha – as an exemplary of a revisionist – makes his attack against the Maoist forces aiming to demoralize and discredit the leadership and supporters of the CPI(Maoist). In an article published in the end of October 2025 in the magazine *The Anvil*, Sinha throws a virulent and rotten attack against the revolutionary intellectual K. Murali, also known as Comrade Ajith.

Sinha writes an extensive and hateful article attacking the text of Comrade Ajith named *On Bureaucratic Capitalism*. Just like every revisionist, Sinha begins his attacks to the formulations and developments of the Maoist theory of bureaucratic capitalism made by Chairman Gonzalo and used by Ajith in his writing: *“Murali comes to Gonzalo’s concept of ‘bureaucrat capitalism’ and pretends that it is only an extension of Mao’s concept of ‘bureaucrat capitalism’”*⁷ In the end, what Sinha formulates is a copy of the battered revisionist theory in that the independence of India, from 1947, represented a bourgeois revolution, that capitalism had developed and that there would not be nor bureaucratic capitalism nor semi-feudality in the countryside, and therefore the Indian revolution would be immediately socialist.

In this text, Sinha makes a series of sordid attacks against Ajith. With the typical hatred by revisionists against the revolutionaries, he throws all kinds of adjectives against the Comrade: *“any vagabond ‘Maoist’”* representing *“tiny tribe (...) of the so-called ‘Maoists’ of India”*, etc. Furthermore, in a certain fragment he defends the positions of Sonu as a positive development facing the setbacks that took place in Operation Kagaar. This way, this web-revisionist assesses the current situation of the CPI(Maoist):

*“It is precisely this **programmatic dogmatism of stubbornly** clinging to the program of new democratic revolution in India, on the one hand, and **the complete absence of revolutionary massline, which have led to their decline, as we see it today.** It is precisely this intransigence to see the reality as it is and as it develops, which has proven*

⁶“a contradição principal não é mais entre feudalismo versus massas populares, mas entre burguesia burocrático-compradora versus massas”, e que “se o feudalismo não está mais no centro, a estratégia da guerrilha no campo está ultrapassada e a luta deve mudar-se para as cidades, para os cinturões industriais”.

⁷“Murali comes to Gonzalo’s concept of ‘bureaucrat capitalism’ and pretends that it is only an extension of Mao’s concept of ‘bureaucrat capitalism’”

to be their undoing. **At least now, they should rethink their program, strategy and general tactics.** However, our hopes are not on very strong foundations, given their history of incorrigible dogmatism.”⁸

What this web-revisionist does is merely repeating the same conclusions of Sonu and Satish: the cause for the hardships of the People’s War in India do not reside in the fact of the threat it poses to the Old State and imperialism, or due to the challenges in facing the ongoing enormous campaign of encirclement and annihilation, but due to dogmatism, the abandonment of the mass line and the reluctance in not seeing changes in reality. Just like Sonu, Sinha states that now would be the time to capitulate, betray and liquidate the Maoist program, strategy and tactics. In other words, Sinha is nothing more than a representative of the anti-CPI(Maoist) fifth column in the academic and virtual media. This is the author that is studied and copied by the UOC(MLM). How can one say that they make a sincere defense of the People’s War in India? Are they representatives of a fifth column or not, as behind the fake words of support they aim to undermine the ideology of the Party they claim to support?

The true movement of democratic and revolutionary intellectuals in India is suffering a very grave repression by the Old State. Abhinav Sinha not only bears no respect for a revolutionary veteran as Comrade Ajith, as he keeps making his “legal Marxist” publishing without any kind of persecution or constraint by the Old State. This is the opposite situation of groups of intellectuals sympathizers of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism as the valorous intellectuals from *Nazariya Magazine*, whose main editor Comrade Vallika Varshi has decided this year to go clandestine as the only way to continue her task as a revolutionary propagandist. In the last issue of the *Nazariya Magazine*, the comrade stated;

“Internally, the biggest threat to the revolutionary movement and its well-wishers is opportunism-revisionism-liquidationism. These trends are falling firmly in the ruling class camp, in doing the work of the reactionaries by encouraging disillusion, hopelessness, fear and skepticism amongst the revolutionary people.”⁹

Nazariya Magazine has this way made important contributions in the struggle against revisionism, in the true support to the People’s War and in combating Operation Kagaar. As it couldn’t be otherwise, Sinha and the magazine he is part of have also attacked these valorous comrades in the past, as part of a polemic on the gigantic

⁸“It is precisely this programmatic dogmatism of stubbornly clinging to the program of new democratic revolution in India, on the one hand, and the complete absence of revolutionary massline, which have led to their decline, as we see it today. It is precisely this intransigence to see the reality as it is and as it develops, which has proven to be their undoing. At least now, they should rethink their program, strategy and general tactics. However, our hopes are not on very strong foundations, given their history of incorrigible dogmatism.”

⁹“Internally, the biggest threat to the revolutionary movement and its well-wishers is opportunism-revisionism-liquidationism. These trends are falling firmly in the ruling class camp, in doing the work of the reactionaries by encouraging disillusion, hopelessness, fear and skepticism amongst the revolutionary people.”

peasant movement that took over the whole country. While Sinha and *The Anvil* defended that this powerful mass movement bore a fascist character, the comrades from *Nazariya Magazine* defended the democratic character of those demonstrations. In their combat to revisionism, Nazariya Magazine states:

“Abhinav Sinha in the Anvil Magazine, mentions that India followed the Prussian path to capitalism. He mentions that policies like the Green Revolution accentuate this change.”¹⁰

And:

“Calling oneself a vanguard (...) Anvil looked at the masses with scorn and condemned the ‘fundamentalist’ elements in the peasant movement and displayed a highly Brahmanical outlook, resulting from a mechanical understanding of religion.”¹¹

Lastly:

“The larger strategy and tactics of this section of ‘Maoists’ need to be questioned too, since they propose direct socialism in a semicolonial semi-feudal country. This would make India a country like Cuba which continues to be dependent on foreign capital for its survival. This section of ‘Maoists’ in India, represent Khrushchevite revisionists”.¹²

The denunciation of the revisionist Khrushchevite content of the positions of Sinha made by Nazariya Magazine is very important. After all, all this attempt to negate the validity of the New Democratic Revolution for all the oppressed countries, the attempt to hide that what exists in the countries of the third world is a backward bureaucratic capitalism, in which underlying semi-feudal relations are reproduced, is a way to defend a supposed “progressive character of imperialism”, which would have impeded the conclusion of the bourgeois revolutions in the oppressed countries throughout the 21st century or “swept away the semi-feudal relations” in those countries. What is this position if not a complete negation of the theory of the New Democratic Revolution established by Chairman Mao that shows how one of the laws of the epoch of World Proletarian Revolution in which the democratic revolutions, the national liberation revolutions, from the 20th century onwards could only fully triumph if they advance uninterruptedly toward socialism if they count on a proletarian leadership. Therefore, it is merely anti-Maoist fallacy to state that imperialism promoted or supported bourgeois revolutions and agrarian revolutions. These are theses of revisionists like Khrushchev

¹⁰“Abhinav Sinha in the Anvil Magazine, mentions that India followed the Prussian path to capitalism. He mentions that policies like the Green Revolution accentuate this change.”

¹¹“Calling oneself a vanguard (...) Anvil looked at the masses with scorn and condemned the ‘fundamentalist’ elements in the peasant movement and displayed a highly Brahmanical outlook, resulting from a mechanical understanding of religion.”

¹²“The larger strategy and tactics of this section of ‘Maoists’ need to be questioned too, since they propose direct socialism in a semicolonial semi-feudal country. This would make India a country like Cuba which continues to be dependent on foreign capital for its survival. This section of ‘Maoists’ in India, represent Khrushchevite revisionists”

and Trotsky, apologists of imperialism. Here lies the true ideological source of people like Sinha, Sonu and the leadership of UOC(MLM).

Evidently the leadership of the UOC(MLM) could not expose their true theoretical sources in daylight, because they are revisionist and betrayers of revolution in India. The cryptic defense of theses by a revisionist from India while claiming to be defenders of the CPI(Maoist) is only the corollary of the ideological deception that the UOC(MLM) has always practiced. An organization that claims to be communist, claims to be Maoist, in a country in Latin America, Colombia, in which one of the most protracted and bloody peasant armed struggle in the world persists, defends that the character of revolution there is immediately socialist and that the Agrarian Revolution would be an error. The shameful plagiarism of an Indian web-revisionist only exposes the practice of its leadership that is to traffic revisionism as if it was Maoism. As we have stated in our document from 2023:

“UOC(mlm), by not applying the revolutionary content of Maoism to the current and concrete analysis of concrete contradictions in the world and in its own country, **ends up harboring in the foundations of its formulations old revisionist theses** defeated long ago in the ICM. **Among these erroneous conceptions, the most serious and deep-rooted in their formulations are the Avakianist and Trotskyist ones**, as well as the economic foundation of the false Marxist theory of dependence, which seeks precisely to substantiate a supposed validity of the Trotskyist ‘*Permanent Evolution*’ in Latin America and in the semi-colonial countries as a whole.” (The New Democratic Revolution is the Main Force of the World Proletarian Revolution, P.C.B.)

Behind the combat to dogmatism and “leftism” – both in the formulations of the UOC(MLM) and in the ones from the plagiarized web-revisionist – the rotten trotskyist position of “permanent revolution” is hidden. Al-aqsa Flood on October 7th 2023, the Heroic Palestinian National Resistance, has fully proven the validity of the national question for the World Proletarian Revolution. The role of the communists is to impose Maoism as the sole command and guide of the powerful national liberation movement in the semi-colonial and colonial countries, transforming their democratic revolution of the old type into New Democratic Revolution, transforming their heroic national resistance wars into a powerful torrent of protracted People’s Wars of national and social liberation. The Communist Party of India (Maoist) is categorical when substantiating and defending the universality of the New Democratic Revolution and the path of Protracted People’s Wars to all semi-colonial countries:

“Our party believes that only by fulfilling the New Democratic tasks in **relentless struggle in the path of Protracted People’s War** against imperialism, comprador bureaucratic capitalism and feudalism in the base and superstructure, **it can successfully advance in the direction of achieving new democracy and genuine people’s democracy in semi-colonial, semi-feudal systems in like Nepal and**

India.” [CPI(Maoist)]¹³

To declare oneself as Maoist in a semicolonial country but being against the Protracted People’s War, the path of encircling the cities from the countryside, and the New Democratic Revolution, is nothing but vile revisionism just as the program and general line of revisionist parties in the countries dominated by imperialism. This was the distinction that we highlight in our document from December 2023:

“The current two-line struggle in the ICM, which began in 2022, around the holding of the UMIC and the founding of the ICL, clearly drew the line between Maoism and revisionism (in its old and current modalities). Maoists clearly and forcefully maintain that the principal contradiction in the world today is that which oppose oppressed nations and peoples against imperialism. The heroic Palestinian National Resistance, the enormous support expressed by broad masses around the world, fully confirm this truth defended by the Maoists. The only way to resolve this contradiction is the New Democratic Revolution, uninterrupted toward socialism, through the People’s War led by genuine Communist Parties. Therefore, **the recognition of the validity of the New Democratic Revolution for all colonial and semi-colonial countries in the world constitutes a clear line of demarcation between Maoism and revisionism.** To deny this truth is to fall into the vilest revisionism, it is to abandon the revolutionary path in oppressed countries.” (The New Democratic Revolution is the Main Force of the World Proletarian Revolution, P.C.B.)

The defense of the immediately socialist revolution in the semi-colonial countries is a rightist position just as does the most notorious and hardened revisionist parties in those countries, that only aim to hide their rightism with leftist wording. In Latin America, the parties and organizations that today defend the immediate socialist program associate this program to a future insurrection through the “general political strike” as announced by trotskyism, to which one “accumulate” forces with all kinds of electioneering, unionist, and reformist tactics. Socialists in words, reformists in practice. Why do these “socialists” fear so much the defense of the New Democratic Revolution? Because the first phase of the New Democratic Revolution is the Agrarian Revolution, and to make the work among the peasants is to make the armed struggle in a very short term in the present, and not the Utopian future insurrection. They hide their fear in leading the peasant armed struggle for the property of land with the tinsel of the future armed struggle for the collectivization of land. Ideological impostors, nothing more. After all, as taught us by Chairman Gonzalo:

“(…) to speak of the peasant problem is to speak of the problem of land, to speak of the problem of land is to speak of the military problem, and to speak of the military problem is to speak of the problem of Power, of the New State to which we reach with the democratic revolution led by the proletariat through its Party,

¹³CPI(Maoist), Support the formation of the Revolutionary Communist Party of Nepal, 2023.

the Communist Party.” (Chairman Gonzalo)¹⁴

The renegade Sonu, the UOC(MLM), and the web-revisionist all run away from the peasant problem because they flee from the military problem. The glorious example of the CPI(Maoist) is the one of persistence in the path for transforming the peasant armed struggle into Protracted People’s War and this way the true communist parties in the world have progressed, between victories and partial defeats, but persisting. The history of revolutions prove that its path is in zigzags, twists and turns, but the perspectives are brilliant, this is a law and it corresponds to the class stance, of our international proletarian class. There are no definitive defeats for the proletariat, we are bound to win and the victory will come faster as the faster the revolutionary ranks of the class and its party is purified from ideas and ideological remnants of the bourgeoisie and the petty-bourgeoisie, revisionism of all kinds. The revolutionary wars such as the other ones from the past and present in some countries of the world, as well as those being prepared to initiate in many others, are all true revolutions and not examining boards of bourgeois academies. That is to say, they are fierce struggles and very hard ones, and just as in any time and any place of the world, revolutions did never have an easy path. It is like the great Lenin states: no people in struggle against their oppressors can conquest Power in one single attempt, they get closer to it in each one of these struggles until its triumph. This is the law of the people as taught by Chairman Mao: to fight and fail, fight again and fail again, and fight again until triumph. This is the transformation of human society and history, an herculean task, glorious cause of centuries and millennia, there is no place in in for cowards and pessimists who, in their aristocratic sapience do not know nor feel that the masses are the only makers of history. The revisionists and their followers do not handle science, only its husk, because they do not have it as ideology nor embody it. It is a fact, and facts are stubborn: Power grows from the barrel of a gun!

The PCm(Italy) defends the same position as the UOC(MLM). They stand opposed to the universality of the New Democratic Revolution for the countries dominated by imperialism. They deny the semi-feudal character of these societies, considering it a “dogmatic assessment”. The PCm(Italy) and the UOC(MLM) are the main promoters of the magazine *Two Line Struggle* and the blog *Maoist Road*. From these tribunes they spread revisionist positions mixed with declarations and documents from the CPI(Maoist). In a cunning way they present themselves as the great defenders of the People’s War in India, but surreptitiously, covertly or through plagiarism, spread revisionist positions inclusively of Indian revisionists. This is an opportunist practice of trafficking with authentic revolutionary processes of other countries to spread their rightist formulations.

¹⁴Chairman Gonzalo, Fundamental Documents *Documentos Fundamentais*, PCP, tradução e negritos nossos.

UOC(MLM) and PCm(Italy) are used to and accustomed to this nefarious conduct, so they did with the People's War in Peru, afterwards with Nepal and nowadays they repeat the same practice in relation to the Indian Revolution. They claim to be in agreement with the analyses of CPI(Maoist) on the semi-colonial character of India, while prevaricates on its semi-feudal condition and hide their crypto-trotsky-avakianite positions of defending immediately socialist revolution for countries as Colombia, Brazil, India, Turkey, the Philippines, and Nepal. This is what PCm(Italy) stated in the Magazine *Two Lines Struggle*:

“A dogmatic approach about the “semi-feudal” characteristic of all oppressed countries exists, while some comrades of some of these countries reject this dogmatic approach, on the basis of their own revolutionary experience and analysis (think for example of the Colombian comrades of the UOC MLM; in other countries such as Tunisia, Iran and Nepal, **some comrades are moving towards this direction**).” (PCm Itália, *Two lines struggle*, n°2, 2023)¹⁵

In other words, they consider it an advancement that Parties in Tunisia, Iran, and Nepal are rejecting the supposed “dogmatic assessment” of the semi-feudal character of countries dominated by imperialism. They repeat the same conclusions of the revisionist Avakian on the topic. In order for their masks of fake defenders of the line of the CPI(Maoist) not to fall, they do not deny the semi-feudal character of India, but agree that Nepal would not bear this character anymore. And this is why the PCm(Italy) spread the note of CPN(Bahumat) that also traffics revisionist positions of immediately socialist revolution in countries dominated by imperialism in a supposed greetings to the CPI(Maoist):

“We have been working from our side to fulfill the revolutionary duty by organizing party and class struggle to accomplish a **Scientific Socialist Revolution in Nepal**.” (Central Committee from Communist Party of Nepal (Bahumat), October 2025)¹⁶

The revisionist traitor Sonu, as we have seen, in addition to denying the semi-feudal character of Indian society, also denies the path of encircling the cities from the countryside with his rotten right opportunist line that states that the “guerrilla strategy in the countryside is outdated and the struggle must be displaced to the cities, to the industrial belts”. The rightist position of the PCm(Italy), which drinks from the same

¹⁵“A dogmatic approach about the “semi-feudal” characteristic of all oppressed countries exists, while some comrades of some of these countries reject this dogmatic approach, on the basis of their own revolutionary experience and analysis (think for example of the Colombian comrades of the UOC MLM; in other countries such as Tunisia, Iran and Nepal, some comrades are moving towards this direction).”

¹⁶“We have been working from our side to fulfill the revolutionary duty by organizing party and class struggle to accomplish a Scientific Socialist Revolution in Nepal.” (Central Committee from Communist Party of Nepal (Bahumat), October 2025)

revisionist fountain, spread fallacies on the Protracted People's War in the semi-colonial countries. Repeating the same "demographic" chant of imperialism they state that:

"We think that in many oppressed countries, due to a huge process of urbanization and proletarianization (...) the countryside tends to lose its 'main' importance in favor of the cities and, consequently, it is not obvious that the people's war in some countries oppressed by imperialism has the 'classic' form of 'agrarian war' as main shape."¹⁷

What is this if not spreading the capitulationist positions that justify running away from the struggle by the peasants on the agrarian and peasant problem in the semi-colonial countries? UOC(MLM) and PCm(Italy) spread, in covert form, the rotten right opportunist line of Sonu and their followers. In the virtual media, they spread positions of the same kind, of deserter groupings, capitulationists and liquidationists, who, joining the big landowners and the far-right, dedicate themselves to attack and try to defame the Agrarian Revolution. Furthermore, these groups politically assume openly rightist positions in their respective countries: UOC(MLM), in a shy but constant support to the opportunist government, murderer of guerrilla fighters, Gustavo Petro; and PCm(Italy) with their shameful funeral condolences to the "anti-imperialist" pope reveals its clerical-rightist character¹⁸. The support to the People's War in India goes through the demarcation and combat to these fake supporters, revisionist spreaders and plagiarizers.

In the end, we express our stand on the call by the ICL:

"We must redouble our efforts to develop the international campaign to support the People's War in India. We must not allow the nefarious plan of imperialism, as part of its LIC-strategy, and the Indian reactionaries, with the help of renegades and traitors, to spread confusion and pessimism to succeed. (...) We must rush to battle on every front to fight off all attacks on our India comrades. We must fall the imperialist in the back and do all we can to sabotage their plans. We must unite everyone who can be united to serve the defeat of "Operation Kagar" – and the LIC-strategy in general – for the victory to the New Democratic Revolution in India through its only path, the People's War." (Reaction is bound to fall, and the People's War in India is bound to triumph! –*International Communist League*)

From Brazil, we assume what is up to us in this task. Our history is marked by five centuries of a continuous peasant war that oscillates between victories and defeats. The challenge of the P.C.B. is to raise this peasant war into a Protracted People's War for

¹⁷"We think that in many oppressed countries, due to a huge process of urbanization and proletarianization, began as a slow trend in the 1960s and increased since the 1980s continuing today in ever larger scale, the countryside tends to lose its "main" importance in favor of the cities and, consequently, it is not obvious that the people's war in some countries oppressed by imperialism has the "classic" form of "agrarian war" as main shape." (*Algunas críticas al documento "¡Por una Conferencia Internacional Maoísta Unificada!"*, PCm (Italia), 2022)

¹⁸On the Clerical Position of the PCm Italy, read article *Hammer, Sickle and papal Mitra*, from Nuova Egeonia group, criticizing the conference of funeral honors to "pope Francisco".

the total conquest of Power in our homeland. Therefore, just as the CPI(Maoist): the path of China, of Chairman Mao, is our path. And in this shining path, our people and nation will achieve its definitive liberation, in service of the World Proletarian Revolution, for and until the complete sweeping away of imperialism from the face of earth.

Long live the Communist Party of India (Maoist)!

Long live the invincible and glorious People's War in India!

Down with the old and reactionary Indian State!

Defeat "Operation Kagaar" with People's War!

Death to revisionism and all opportunism!

Fiercely punish the traitor Sonu, his gang and followers!

Comrade Basavaraj: Present in the People's War!

Long live proletarian internationalism!

December 2025

Communist Party of Brazil – P.C.B.