

Proletarians of all countries, unite!

THE REVOLUTIONARY SITUATION DEVELOPS POWERFULLY IN PERU AND THE WORLD!

New Democracy Association

August 2012¹

Peruvian society lives in a revolutionary situation in development that is the fundamental question and the only correct point of departure for the politics of the proletariat.

— *LET'S DEVELOP THE GROWING PEOPLE'S PROTEST!*, Central Committee, Communist Party of Peru, 1979

The revolutionary situation develops powerfully in Peru and the world. The objective conditions are every day more favorable for the realization of a great leap in the development of the strategic offensive of the world proletarian revolution, the new great wave of the same is developing more and more powerful. The crisis of imperialism demonstrates, once again, before the peoples of the world that it is incompatible with the progress of humanity. Revolution expresses itself more sharply as a major historical and political trend as a manifestation of the overwhelming necessity of matter and, as part of it, of human life itself. Like all reactionaries throughout the millennia of private property, today's imperialists try to oppose the revolution with every possible means. They are already well known, ranging from horrendous genocides to petty intrigues. No method that serves their counter-revolutionary ends is foreign to them. Their main point of attack has always been that which at the moment most serves to disrupt the revolution, to hinder its advance and break it apart it until it can—for a moment—lead it to defeat, which for the new will always be temporary. So it was yesterday, so it is today and so it will always be. For this reason, to rebel against the reactionaries will always be the only just and correct thing to do for those of us who are for the revolution. Any other idea does not correspond to our class conception, does not correspond to Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, Gonzalo Thought, does not correspond to our philosophy, does not correspond to the principle of going against the current. Therefore, only communists who embody this principle can be considered as strong trees that resist the strongest storms. Thus, forging ourselves in Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, Gonzalo Thought, principally Gonzalo Thought, demands that we advance in such a way; going against the tide.

¹<https://vnd-peru.blogspot.com/2012/08/la-situacion-revolucionaria-se.html>

Based on the above, we have the necessary foundation to understand the struggle that we are developing abroad as part of the struggle for the reorganization of the Communist Party of Peru. For this reason, it is with full optimism and with absolute conviction of the justness of our cause, that at present we continue developing the struggle against revisionism, against the two right opportunist lines, both revisionist and capitulationist, and, as part of the same phenomenon, against the reactionary aberration that from a website abroad continues trying to generate confusion and disunity among the communists, revolutionaries, and masses of the world who see in the people's war in Peru, correctly, their torch.

The Twin Documents

The miserable reactionary agent who presents himself as “representative of the Party abroad” and usurps the website Red Sun, has published on that website a communiqué entitled: *Combat Humala's Even More Exploiting, Genocidal and Pro-Yankee Imperialist Government, Developing More People's War!*, June 2012, the same that it attributes to a supposed “PCP-Central Committee,” drafted trying to simulate the language and party style but which has only achieved a reactionary imitation and eyesore, badly made based off of party documentation, true counter-revolutionary garbage. We will demonstrate this. This communiqué resembles, like two peas in a pod, another communiqué, published by the revisionist and capitulationist right opportunist line, that of the “peace letters” (second ROL), titled: *Long live May 1st, day of the international proletariat!*, Peru, May 1, 2012, which, we delimit, mark with fire, and denounce as a deliberate counter-revolutionary hoax that aims to deconstruct the PCP and the people's war. For this we have to dissect it part by part:

What is the Concrete Political Objective Pursued by this New Communiqué from this Shady “PCP-Central Committee”?

Let us start with the concrete fact: this “communiqué” has been published by the counter-revolutionary subject that we have been denouncing, attributing it to a supposed “PCP-Central Committee,” which only issues its communications through this means and abroad. In which it is said: that it “leads our revolution in Peru, preparing and developing the days of struggle” of the masses throughout Peru, but this reactionary ghost only exists in the virtual world, it does not even exist on paper and all that is written in the communiqué that we are denouncing is contrary from beginning to end to Gonzalo Thought and to the Basis of Party Unity (BPU), This is the greatest proof that it is not authentic and we refer to it as the best mirror revealing such a counter-revolutionary hoax against the Communist Party of Peru (PCP) and the people's war.

Starting from this concrete fact, the counter-revolutionary agent, which in our previous document (April 2012)² we have called the *native Hu Feng* and his digitizer, attributing to his counter-revolutionary ghost the “ultra secret leadership” of the revolution and the struggle of the masses, assume that they are no longer obliged to prove the existence of the counter-revolutionary spawn; they pretend to traffic with the revolutionary secret; so that, later, wielding this deception as the only proof of its existence, they can use it to continue presenting themselves as the “authentic representative of the party” and continue usurping the letterhead of the “MPP” and the page usurped from the Party. Abroad it is limited to its “ideological struggle” that it furiously carries out on the Internet against the Communist Parties that lead people’s war such as the Communist Party of India (Maoist) (CPIM). In Peru they are nowhere to be found by miracle. This new “communiqué” is a desperate and useless attempt to counter our two previous public documents, the one from October 2011 and the other from April of this year, in which we have denounced the reactionary hoax of usurping the name of the Party and its organizations.

Therefore, where is the *native Hu* aiming at with these fabrications? He aims to disrupt the Party and the people’s war, by continuing to sow more confusion and distrust in the work of the Party, denying the need for the general reorganization of the PCP in the midst of people’s war, and the life-and-death struggle against revisionism inside and outside the Party. The action of this miserable agent of the enemy directly serves the third reactionary task; the same one, which pursues the annihilation of the people’s war through the annihilation of the Party, which today is concretized in preventing the communists of the country from fulfilling the overdue task of reorganization. This subject is impelled to continue with the reactionary hoax of “the only representative of the ‘CC’ abroad” to cover up his counter-revolutionary action developed since the end of the 1990s.

Hence the need to contrast what this “communiqué” says and what we have just stated with concrete facts, with the material reality, with the current situation in the light of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, Gonzalo Thought, principally Gonzalo Thought, and the BPU, in order to break it apart.

Contrasting the “Communiqué” with the BPU

The communiqué of the hoax says: that this “party,” which they have fabricated, and which the counter-revolutionary subject “represents” abroad, “*leads our revolution in Peru, preparing and developing the days of struggle with the proletariat, the peasants and the students all over the country. A struggle that takes place with advances and retreats, and we assume it as must be done, crushing revisionist lines within and outside our ranks. The Party is advancing in solving the inflexion in our powerful and ardent people’s war by grasping Gonzalo Thought to solve new*

²*We are Advocates of the Omnipotence of Revolutionary War*

problems with the highest optimism and inexhaustible confidence in the masses.”³ Which they will specify paragraphs below, when, referring to the national situation, they write to which struggles they are referring: “...*Cajamarca and Espinar...*” (Note this!) “*it is not only the struggle for water. On the 13th, the teachers launched their days of struggle with a powerful strike, showing a greater level of organization and struggle against the revisionism in their ranks.,*” etc.

In this quote and its complement, there is the central cause of his restlessness. Therefore, we have to focus on contrasting it with Gonzalo Thought and the BPU, because the story in his chicken scratch is long. So, let’s get to the point and without prissiness.

If they say: that this supposed “PCP-CC” “leads our revolution in Peru,” what is the first thing that jumps out in the whole “communiqué” published by the *native Hu*?

Apart from the enumeration of some data, there is no analysis and synthesis that could correspond to Gonzalo Thought and the BPU. Starting from the fact that there is nowhere the characterization of Peruvian society according to this, that is to say as semi-feudal and semi-colonial, subjected to imperialism, mainly Yankee, where a bureaucrat-capitalism develops. They talk about everything in the above-mentioned “communiqué,” they mention semi-feudalism but in the ROL style of the “peace letters” or “peace accords” (“P.A.”). Thus, the “communiqué” refers to the new concentration of land, etc., but without seeing it as part of the ROL process, but without seeing it as part of the process of evolution of semi-feudalism and separated from its basic characteristics of latifundia and serfdom, granting the latifundia that are being generated with the new concentration of land a capitalist character when it qualifies them as property of the “national bourgeoisie” with these words: “...*the formation of new latifundios for agricultural export... Here in Peru, the companies that have the greatest concentration of land are the groups Gloria, Wong, Los Romero, Maple, Camposol, Damper, Agrokasa, Oviedo; economical groups of the national bourgeoisie that develop linked to yankee imperialism. This government is serving the great imperialist capital and align themselves with the plundering of land that is going on in the world. It has the same line and purpose. To this purpose, as a foreman of imperialism... the development of bureaucrat-capitalism developed by imperialism, plundering land by the coast for the so-called agrarian export, in the highlands for the imperialist mining companies... in the jungle...*”

According to the quote, the government has been serving these groups of the “national bourgeoisie” “plundering land...”; it is clear there, that is why we have underlined it. So according to this the Humala government would at least also represent the national bourgeoisie, that is why he says that the government is doing “populist politics” following the bourgeois political scientists who use this denomination as a synonym of a “nationalist politics,” that is to say they want to create a whole confusion for us. But any Party militant knows that this is not the reality, that these groups belong to the big comprador bourgeoisie and the landlords. The big bourgeoisie is monopolist like

³*Combat Humala’s Even More Exploiting, Genocidal and Pro-Yankee Imperialist Government, Developing More People’s War!*, June 2012.

its master, imperialism, its groups do not belong therefore to the middle or national bourgeoisie, so they try to confuse the national bourgeoisie with the big bourgeoisie to go after this faction as “part of the united front,” trying to take the party history back to before 1965, as we will see later.

They hide the semi-feudal character of the relations of production of these farms and in the rest of the country there are no landlords for them, the landlords do not appear anywhere and the state that represents and defends that society is, for them, only “old state,” that is why they are careful not to mention its class character. That for the Party as it is established is: landlord-bureaucrat. Therefore, the only guilty party for the present situation of the country are not the landlords and the big bourgeoisie but only the “economical groups of the national bourgeoisie” and Yankee imperialism. The three mountains that oppress and exploit the people are nowhere to be found. Just like the ROL of the “P.A.,” that says in its annotated communiqué which says in its annotated communiqué: *“Current Peruvian society is capitalist, dependent on imperialism and with semi-feudal remnants subsisting.”*

So if they speak only of the old state, they are denying that the old state is the system of organized violence of Peruvian society, of this class society, of the dictatorship of landlords and big bourgeoisie, so as Chairman Gonzalo teaches us, **“Thus what is intended is to separate politics from society, and that is not true; what is interesting is that there are contradictions between the factions of the big bourgeoisie, in which there are even groups; it is good to keep in mind that there are interests of their lackeys who ride over the masses—the opportunists and revisionists—who also have unity of general interests but difference of specific interests because if not how do they ride over the masses? has it worsened or not? of course, these contradictions have worsened more, are we seeing it or not?”**⁴

Nailed, it is clearly a denouement by Chairman Gonzalo against the monster that tries to prevent us from seeing the development of these contradictions and how the opportunists and revisionists who want to cover our eyes by deceiving us that they are leading these struggles in “...*Cajamarca and Espinar... are riding on the struggle of the masses... The teachers ...showing a greater level of organization and struggle against the revisionism in their ranks...*,” this is false, as we are demonstrating here, these phonies are against the struggles of the masses—like the ones they mention—developing independently, that is why they try to confuse a faction of the big bourgeoisie with the national bourgeoisie as we have seen above, because as lackeys they do not want the Party to lead the United Front of the revolution to serve the development of the people’s war.

⁴Report of the Third Plenum, *Meeting with the People’s Aid Committee*, Central Committee of the Communist Party of Peru, 1992.

The “Communiqué,” While Claiming to Defend Chairman Gonzalo and Gonzalo Thought, Blatantly Attacks Them

Then, in this “communiqué” of the reactionary hoax that usurps the name of the Party, they change the character of society, of the state and, therefore, the targets of the revolution and with it also—like the ROL—they change the character of the revolution and the road of encircling the cities from the countryside, for these the countryside is principal and the city is complementary, the people’s war ceases to be the principal form of struggle and as principal form of struggle it passes to “days of struggle” of the masses in the cities. They also forget the oppression and exploitation of the other imperialist powers in collusion and conflict over our country and especially China.

For that reason, the government presents him only as “imperialism’s foreman” or at the service of those “groups of the national bourgeoisie” or as “Humala’s most starving and genocidal government, pro-Yankee imperialist.” That is how slippery they are, seeking not to be caught.

For them, he is not a representative of the landlords and the big bourgeoisie, at the service of imperialism, but only a “foreman” and “pro-Yankee imperialist.” Nor do they say anything about how the current process of reactionarization of the old state is taking place, how this government continues with the task of restructuring the old state with blood and fire, starting with the Executive subject to the genocidal and fatherland-selling Army as the highest expression of the reactionarization of the old state; They do not say, either, if this takes place with presidential absolutism or fascism; therefore, the regime for them is not fascist, genocidal and sell-out, which is shown as the most unbridledly pro-imperialist of our history; for the “communiqué” it is only “Humala’s even more exploiting, genocidal and pro-Yankee imperialist government,” and also of “populist politics.” They pretend not to see the restructuring process of the old state as a whole and the role of the presidents and regional governments, corporate bodies, as a buffer for the struggle of the masses, on the contrary they praise them as we saw in our previous document; but even for bourgeois scholars the role of the regional governments is clear, thus an expert from the other mountain in an interview granted to the magazine *What Is To Be Done? [¿Qué Hacer?]* No 186, Lima April-June 2012, 4, *A Divided World: Lima and Provinces: An Interview with Eduardo Ballón E., by SANCHEZ LEON, Abelardo [Un mundo dividido: Lima y provincias / Una entrevista a Eduardo Ballón E. / SANCHEZ LEON]*, Abelardo says: “it is very clear that in many of the most visible conflicts in the country the regional governments have played an important role of channeling and negotiation.” As we are currently seeing in Cajamarca or in Tacna and in all the other regions, and it occurs in the midst of acute struggle to then collude as Chairman Gonzalo teaches us. The reactionary monster—as can be seen—does not give for much, but since it usurps the name of the PCP it must be blown up, displaying all its reactionary evil.

Therefore, let us take firm hold of Chairman Gonzalo to lash this monster, who tells us:

In essence, we cannot correctly understand a democratic revolution such as ours if we do not see the sustenance, the base, the semi-feudal background that subsists because then we would not understand the problem of the land and consequently we would not understand the problem of the peasantry, and the peasantry is the main force of the Peruvian revolution, it is the massive and fundamental, basic force of the people's war and the People's Guerrilla Army.

He also says:

Not to understand the peasant problem, the land problem, the problem of the semi-feudal relations is not to understand Peru, it is not to understand the Peruvian revolution, it is not to be rooted in its democratic character. We have to see the three mountains: semi-feudalism, bureaucrat-capitalism and imperialism. And we have to unmask the penetration and the greater imperialist domination, mainly Yankee imperialist, in Peru. That is, mainly it does not say only, because otherwise we do not see the sectors of the big bourgeoisie according to the imperialism they defend.⁵

If you do not see the three mountains how are you going to see the main thing of the process of demolition of the old society by the people's war; the key question of the demolition of the old society and the old state, is how we are destroying old relations of production and how we are introducing new ones where we exercise power, the new power, where we have the People's New-Democratic Republic. And it is established that this new power is established and maintained insofar as the Party with the Army develops people's war, because without the Army how can power be maintained. There is no new power without the Army (from the quoted document).

The Authors of the Monster Show That they Are Not Marxists, but Rather Reactionary Agents

We have shown how these wretches, with their own words written in their own handwriting have nothing of Marxists and everything they prattle on about is of reactionary essence and as such it suits them to repeat what the "P.A." ROL spreads. Who are they going to be able to deceive that they are leading the revolution in the country! If they do not even mention what is the contradiction that has become the main one at present. We are firmly convinced that, in order to lead and conduct the people's

⁵Report of the Third Plenum, *Meeting with the People's Aid Committee*, Central Committee of the Communist Party of Peru, 1992.

war as Chairman Mao and Chairman Gonzalo teach us, it is necessary to see “the changes of contradiction” as for example when a change of contradiction took place in China which became the contradiction between the Chinese nation and Japanese imperialism. Using this example, Chairman Gonzalo tells us: **“One may ask: but we are not precisely in this contradiction, if it is true, our principal contradiction is between the popular masses and semi-feudalism, that is the main one, but there are three contradictions, the other is against bureaucrat-capitalism and against imperialism, they are three contradictions that are present in the country. Although that is the main one, we have to think that the development of the people’s war, the problem of the seizure of power country-wide necessarily leads to a clash with imperialism.”**⁶ This is of no concern to the authors of this “communiqué,” and they say that they “lead the revolution,” but they do not determine which is the contradiction that has become the main one in the present situation of the development of the people’s war and the counter-revolutionary war, because they are two contending mountains. And, with this, what type of war is developing, whether agrarian war or national war. All this does not fit in their heads because of their condition of reactionary swindlers in their eagerness to present themselves as “PCP-CC.”

But every militant, every revolutionary knows that this is key. In this regard, Chairman Gonzalo tells us: **“Look at what Chairman Mao says: The only differences between them, between a civil war and a national war, are the same that exist between a war waged by the Communist Party alone and a war waged jointly by the Guomindang and the Communist Party (the war of resistance against Japan).”** They are revolutionary wars but they have differences, some are conducted by the Party alone, we are unfolding as “Party alone” in the war, so it is in the Agrarian War. The civil war **“unfolds in a country in which the people go against the existing regime to destroy the old power and imperialism does not act directly.”**⁷ And when imperialism intervenes directly it is a national war. And this is important because in the face of this, the breadth of the class front will be defined and therefore what kind of opportunism it is, right or “left” (that is why these rats call the LOL the ROL of José, rat from the VRAE,⁸ who do not fight against the landlords and the big bourgeoisie, who see everything as unity, with the pretext being that they are already developing a war of national resistance). So if they do not start from clearly establishing all these questions, how will they be able to lead the Party itself, which as we know advances and develops in a hard two-line struggle between Marxism and revisionism, between left and right, how will they be able to lead the concentric construction and militarization of the party and the other instruments of the revolution without correctly carrying out

⁶*Ibid.*

⁷*Ibid.*

⁸Red Library: VRAE stands for Valley of the Apurímac and Ene rivers. Today this is the VRAEM, the Valley of the Apurímac, Ene, and Mantaro rivers.

this two-line struggle, without this two-line struggle how will they be able to approve, apply and take stock of the plans, etc. Moreover: if they change the objective of the democratic revolution, how will they be able to lead the democratic revolution? Redondo! Thus they dropped the hoax of their whole “communiqué,” let us see in this respect the following quote from the “communiqué”: *“Only the democratic revolution led by the worker-peasant alliance under proletarian leadership concretized in the Party with people’s war will be able to fulfill the liquidation of the semifeudality and install a Socialist Republic.”*

And the ROL goes more directly to the same thing pointing out the character of the revolution in our country to justify developing everything peacefully through mass struggle as the “days of struggle” of the “communiqué” of that time, as the ROL says in its May 2012 communiqué: *“the need to forge the lever to transform the world in terms of communism, concretizing in our country the socialist revolution.”*

What Chairman Gonzalo tells us against these reactionaries and revisionists in cahoots: **“The war is what decides, everything is subordinated to the interests of the war, why? because that war points to the central task, to the central task of everything which is power, to the seizure of power which will resolve all questions, and furthermore war is the main way to resolve the higher contradictions, everything is subordinated to it, that is so, therefore when four classes unite the interests of the four are subordinated to maintaining the war, but to maintain the war implies to maintain it with its objectives, and the objective of the war is to seize power to culminate the Democratic Revolution and to continue it as Socialist, that is the problem, therefore we cannot renounce to the interest of the class neither to its objectives nor to its goals, that is why Chairman Mao tells us: ‘But classes and the class struggle are facts, and those people who deny the fact of class struggle are wrong. The theory which attempts to deny this fact is utterly wrong. We do not deny the class struggle, we adjust it.’”**¹⁰ Of course, we start from the fact that it is a front of classes where they fight and struggle, but they must put aside their interest in function of the common objective, of the war; for example, we are communists, our objective is communism, but now why do we fight? For the seizure of power; And to make a People’s Republic of Peru, that is what we want, the People’s Republic, that is to say, to culminate the Democratic Revolution, and that is what the peasantry wants, that is what the petty bourgeoisie wants, that is what the national bourgeoisie wants, that is their interest, but we do not renounce class struggle, we persist and form and remain independent ideologically and organically to then continue and lead, and as that interest is the only one that saves all humanity, then we arm and manage everything in function of that being fulfilled, because otherwise the other classes cannot be emancipated, that is how we act, but we give each stage its

⁹Red Library: Mao Zedong, *The Role of the Chinese Communist Party in the National War*, October 1938.

¹⁰*Ibid.*

objective, that is why the Chairman says: **“we do not renounce the class struggle; we adjust according to the objectives.”**¹¹

Then when they change the People’s Republic of Peru for “Socialist Republic” following what was said by the “ROL of the letters”¹² in their May communiqué, these are against maintaining the war, because maintaining the war implies maintaining it with its objectives and this is to seize power to culminate the democratic revolution and continue it as socialist, then if they change the objective for which we now fight **“for the seizure of power; and to make a People’s Republic of Peru...”** to “culminate the Democratic Revolution,” it goes against reality, against the class struggle, against the front of the democratic revolution, it seeks to isolate the proletariat from its main ally, the peasantry, and the other classes, and for what? so that the revolution does not go forward, that is Trotskyism, that is the ROL with a “leftist” twist. But what else is behind it, if we join that they separate mass work with people’s war, we have clear that this is accumulation of forces of revisionism to crawl after the electoral road, this is black electoral frontism opposed to people’s war.

And can it be Marxist-Leninist-Maoist, Gonzalo Thought who writes as the author of this “communiqué”? Chairman Mao and Chairman Gonzalo have clearly stated that **“to lead a great revolutionary movement, a political party endowed with a revolutionary theory, a knowledge of history and a deep understanding of the practical movement is needed... The Marxist theory, Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, national history from a Marxist point of view, mainly that of the last hundred years... the closest, that is key, the above serves as background. Then he tells us, the problem of deep understanding of the practical movement, for what? To see characteristics, laws and how to manage the movement, he is not a Marxist who does not do that, who does not study these three problems seriously and carefully.”**¹³ Hence the only answer to the introductory question of this paragraph is: No, the authors of such a “communiqué” cannot be Marxists in any case.

A New Upsurge of the Popular Movement Is Taking Place Which Necessarily Leads to the Masses Clamoring for the Principal Form of Struggle

For us, applying Gonzalo Thought and seeing in the present situation how the laws of the democratic revolution and the people’s war are expressed and the present relation between the people’s war and the mass movement, starting from the principle that the masses make history. What is happening in the country is the development of the revolutionary situation with a new upsurge of the popular struggle on the basis of the

¹¹Report of the Third Plenum, *Meeting with the People’s Aid Committee*, Central Committee of the Communist Party of Peru, 1992.

¹²Red Library: “the letters” refers to the peace letters.

¹³*Ibid.*

crisis of bureaucrat-capitalism and its sweeping away by the people's war that is taking place according to the law established by Chairman Gonzalo at the end of the 1970s: **"The crisis rages over the people and a growing tendency to popular protest is developing, that rise of the people's movement, is rise of the peasant movement, in essence, and it is this which will transform into armed struggle."**¹⁴

This is a law of the class struggle in our country and in all backward countries, thus the greatest development of the revolutionary situation is specific. In the 1960s there was this rise which led to the armed struggle of the RLM,¹⁵ etc. In the 1980s, on the basis of this crisis, with the IAS,¹⁶ the Party developed the struggle of the masses, mainly the peasants, and initiated the people's war by its own action with popular initiative. With this began the third stage of contemporary Peruvian society of the general crisis of bureaucrat-capitalism and its demolition as well as that of the old state. That is why it is now necessary to specify in what situation the people's war finds itself. That is why we must insist on this by blowing up this reactionary monster.

For this reason, returning to the quote where the purposes of this "communiqué" of the agents of reaction are condensed, we want to emphasize that it does not say that it leads the people's war but "the revolution," as if any revolution could be led without a people's war and so that there should be no doubt, it links "revolution" directly to "days of struggle," that is to say to the struggle for the demands of the masses. And, further down, separated without establishing any relation to crawl, he refers to the fact that they are "advancing in resolving the inflection" of the "people's war." When from the beginning they are separating "days of struggle" from the masses of people's war, that is to say, they pretend to lead "revolution" with peaceful struggle. It is a reactionary repetition of the same thing that the ROL says about the "letters." In all the rest of the "communiqué" there is nothing concrete about the further development of the revolutionary situation and its specification (like the ROL, they deny it—compare the communiqués on this point); nothing about the situation of the people's war and the three instruments of the revolution except some references that do not correspond, as we have already denounced in all the preceding part of our present document. Even though they mention "linking the struggle for demands to the conquest of power" twice, this link does not appear anywhere in their "communiqué."

Therefore, they speak of "revolution," "people's war," of "more hunger," "crisis," of "struggle" repeatedly, that "bureaucrat-capitalism allows for the development and advance of the revolutionary process" (such stupidity, what Gonzalo Thought says and emphasizes is that bureaucrat-capitalism matures the conditions for the revolution, to wage people's war; it refers to the objective conditions, that is to say, that at the

¹⁴*Let's Develop the Growing People's Protest!*, Central Committee, Communist Party of Peru, 1979.

¹⁵Red Library: RLM stands for Revolutionary Left Movement [*Movimiento de Izquierda Revolucionaria* (MIR)], waged armed struggle in Peru from 1962 until its defeat in 1965, followed *focoist* military theory.

¹⁶Red Library: IAS stands for Initiation of Armed Struggle, ILA in Spanish.

margin of its will it generates its gravediggers; but that of “allowing” has to do with the subjective), etc. But it does not link, it does not draw synthesis, that is to say the law that corresponds to specify in the present situation of development of the revolutionary situation and of the new rise of the popular movement, in essence of the peasant movement, which leads these to clamor to lead and organize them to develop the people’s war. But these reactionary agents in their whole tome do not even mention whether or not there is a revolutionary situation developing; the ROL in its other tome, from which they have copied a large part, does not even mention it when it refers to the international situation, of course when it refers to the situation of the class struggle in the country they do not do so either, pure coincidence? If you doubt, make the comparison, we refer to the proof.

What Does This Mean for Us?

For communists the development of such a revolutionary situation is key and indispensable for leading the people’s war, determining that the current development of the class struggle in the country necessarily leads these classes to clamor for their incorporation into the people’s war. What does this mean for us? That today, as yesterday, it is not only a question of mass struggle or “days of struggle” of workers, peasants and students, but that what is taking place before our eyes is the rise of the struggle of the peasant masses which necessarily leads them to their incorporation into the main form of struggle, the people’s war. Because we already have a people’s war—which has reached the strategic stalemate and that being already in that stage it entered the bend before the arrest of Chairman Gonzalo, and then, due mainly to the action of revisionism and opportunism which blew up the Party, it entered this twist and turn, this historical zigzag we are in,—now what we have to do is to use these magnificent conditions that are presented to us of the development of the class struggle which necessarily leads the masses mainly peasants to the main form of struggle which serves the people’s war to overcome their present situation. Thus to use these new forces to develop the new tasks that this new rise poses to us and with it to develop the three instruments of the revolution starting from the general reorganization of the Party in a fight to the death against revisionism. Then it does not correspond to our position to develop the “days of struggle” or the mass movement without this serving directly and indirectly the people’s war, starting with the backward task. That according to what is established today implies developing the actions of the people’s war, having the countryside as principal, but developing the actions in the cities simultaneously, even more so now that the struggle is increasing in them.

That is why Chairman Gonzalo specifies: **“Another question is that our energies should not be broken apart or centered on this as the main thing, because between vindication and people’s war, the main thing is people’s war, vindication is a means, an instrument for, which implies that if I am contending and fighting at a moment for vindication, precisely there I support with people’s war,**

which is what gives strength to the confrontations. And he adds: there are new tasks and new forces are presented to me... thus we will have more contingents that will be forged for higher tasks and we ourselves will direct both.”¹⁷

Then, when those of the “communiqué” do not mention the developing revolutionary situation, they shamefully deny it, and focus on saying that they “struggle indesligably with the masses” without showing how these struggles are directly and indirectly linked with the people’s war, they are trying to counterpose these struggles to the people’s war, and who does that is reaction and its lackey revisionism, that is to promote pure frontism, to bring the masses to the tail of factions of the big bourgeoisie or of their revisionist and opportunist lackeys. Where is the interest of the “communiqué” here? It aims at breaking us apart so that we do not fulfill the task of the general reorganization of the PCP, linking the struggle of the masses to the people’s war, that these struggles are not supported with actions of the people’s war, taking from this vindictive task the new forces to concretize it. Therein lies the crux of the matter of all this grossly reactionary communiqué. Which is complemented with the other idea that is behind separating war from the mass movement; what it tries to sow, to encourage in our ranks is the counter-revolutionary idea that violence is dangerous, that we should not directly raise the need to develop the people’s war because the masses will be frightened, because otherwise the “boogeyman” will come, genocide; that is why, repeating the rat José from the VRAE, they said in their “directives” that we should not banner, paint or carry out actions in the cities, etc.

But concretely, what is being seen in the country is that the masses struggle as always and that due to the problems in the Party, these struggles do not have a proletarian leadership and centralization that links them directly and indirectly with the people’s war, that is the other part of the reality. That is why in these struggles revisionism and opportunism reign and that leads these lackeys to divert the struggle of the masses to serve in their reactionary struggle. Concretely we see that in all the struggles taking place in the country revisionism and opportunism are riding on the struggle of the masses to defend their positions and privileges as local and regional authorities as part of the old state in dispute with the present government and with their sights set on being the government in the 2016 elections. In the concrete case of the anti Conga front in Cajamarca there are the red patrias with Gregorio Santos and his *rondas* (*mesnadas*) and the priest Arana, in Espinar, others similar as in Tacna, with their slogans of defense of the water and against dispossession; But if they are offered to solve the water problem, even if it is only on paper, for them everything is already solved, because that will give them electoral results, in addition to the other arrangements underneath, because “the dialogue,” the commitments are not made before the assemblies of the masses, but behind closed doors, even if they put together a whole scheme; thus these

¹⁷Report of the Third Plenum, *Meeting with the People’s Aid Committee*, Central Committee of the Communist Party of Peru, 1992.

regional governments serve as a buffer for the struggle of the masses in favor of the regime, of the Executive, that is one of the functions of the regional governments, while they represent the interests of their own fiefdoms, which in turn are divided into local fiefdoms, etc.

For the Monster, It Is a Matter of “Continuing to Mobilize” behind the Reactionary and Revisionist Factions and Groups

Concretely, what is this “communiqué” calling for? To “continue mobilizing” behind the reactionary and revisionist factions and groups confronting the government, as in the case of Cajamarca for example, where they ride on the masses to unite them, under the pretext of the “defense of water,” to the provisions of the regional government of Gregorio Santos, of the regional government against the provisions of the Executive, of the government of Humala. In this way the masses are led into a reactionary struggle to later collude among themselves. They are not for the struggle of the peasantry for the land, that is to say against the semi-feudalism inseparable from the struggle against bureaucrat-capitalism and imperialism, they are not for the struggle with independence and self-determination, they are not for the people’s war to carry the democratic revolution to the end.

And this reactionary agent is so stupid that he went too far and wrote: “*The teachers on June 13th... showing greater organization and struggle against revisionism in their ranks.*” But as everything is known, what these “days of struggle” are, they are those regional strikes advocated by Sutep and MODAVEF to drain the teachers’ struggle and oppose the national strike, which is the right thing to do, which has to be done by unmasking the betrayal of the teachers’ struggle by SUTEP’s CEN¹⁸ usurped by Red Homeland¹⁹ and the CONARE²⁰ led by the ROL and its electoral grotesque, the MODAVEF, has to be done by going over their black heads. The leadership that usurps CONARE has promoted these strikes, headed by Condori and other members of MODAVEF, to use this struggle to propagate their “amnesty” and to collect signatures for their registration as a party in the National Office of Electoral Processes (ONPE). So these “days” that this communiqué propagates as their own serve the government and the old state, the revisionism of Red Homeland and the MODAVEF in their collusion and conflict to oppose the national strike of the class-conscious teachers. And these days the traitor leaders of the SUTEP are saying that it is not necessary to go on strike but to make

¹⁸Red Library: SUTEP stands for Single Union of Educational Workers of Peru [*Sindicato Único de Trabajadores de la Educación del Perú*], and CEN stands for Executive National Committee [*Comité Ejecutivo Nacional*].

¹⁹Red Library: Red Homeland [*Patria Roja*] refers to the revisionist “Communist Party of Peru—Red Homeland.”

²⁰Red Library: CONARE stands for National Reorientation Committee of SUTEP [*Comité Nacional de Reorientación del SUTEP*].

claims before the legal instances through famous lawyers, etc. (see for example the declarations of a leader of the SUTEP of Huaraz on the webpage of the newspaper “Huaraz Noticias” of August 17 of this year.²¹

The reactionary agents who have fabricated this monster, pretending to be the leadership of the revolution, are not in conditions and it is not convenient for them to make concreteness, to focus on what is under debate in the present situation of the party and the country, taking the world situation as a framework. This is in the real, objective situation of the class struggle in the country, that is to say in what period we find ourselves to correctly lead the people’s war, because the leadership of the revolution is embodied in the people’s war led by the PCP, so that these unhappy reactionaries do not come to try to deceive us by saying that “*[The Communist Party of Peru] leads our revolution in Peru, preparing and developing the days of struggle with the proletariat, the peasants and the students all over the country.*” And then separate from this that “*The Party is advancing in solving the inflexion in our powerful and ardent people’s war...*,” when they do not even agree that there is a revolutionary situation. Why do they do it now like this and not like before when they presented their list of actions? Because we have unmasked them and to simulate what they are not, they can no longer continue saying now, as they did until June 2011, that they were leading the actions of the people’s war in the country, because they cannot present any action as theirs, because they will never be able to present what their plan in progress is, with its objectives, its foundation, the strategic specifications and tactics, based on the balance of the previous plan, the current advance, etc. That is why they say “leading the revolution,” “preparing the days of struggle,” because they believe that in this they can lie, according to them there would be no way of discovering them, but in doing so they have been exposed, they have taken off their mask.

It is up to us to denounce and liquidate this reactionary spawn and crush revisionism and opportunism inside and outside our apparatuses, to reaffirm ourselves in revolutionary violence, in the people’s war as the main form of struggle and that the Army (the EPL) is the main form of organization. It is up to us to see thus the problem of the masses, demands, and people’s war, and, as the axis of everything, the general reorganization of the Party, of the heroic combatant that entirely leads the people’s war to carry out the democratic revolution to the end and continue it as a socialist revolution.

²¹Red Library: This article can be read in Spanish at the following link: <https://web.archive.org/web/20121117005418/http://huaraznoticias.com/regionales/abogados-se-suman-a-protesta-de-periodistas>).

The Work of the MPP, Smashing This Reactionary Hoax, Serves the General Reorganization of the Party, the People's War, and the International Communist Movement

From all that has been said so far, let us draw an important conclusion for international work, about our generated organization for party work abroad, the MPP, which has a Marxist-Leninist-Maoist, Gonzalo Thought, principally Gonzalo Thought character, which is firmly attached to our great leadership, Chairman Gonzalo, to the BPU, to the Marxist-Leninist-Maoist, Gonzalo Thought PCP, and therefore defends its principles, people's war, and revolution.

The MPP can in no case be subject to a pseudo "PCP-CC" like the one that issued the monster of a communiqué, irrefutable proof that it is a reactionary organization, here the saying: "the fish dies by its own mouth" applies and, what amounts to a confession of part, as it is said, a confession of part is proof of part. It is demonstrated that in every word written by the authors of this "communiqué," badly disguised, they distill opposition and class hatred against the principles of the Party and the people's war and against the task of putting Maoism as the only command and guide of the world revolution, to develop new people's wars in the world, to change the correlation of forces between revolution and counter-revolution on Earth and from the coordination of these Communist Parties that lead people's war to reunify the communists of the world, in a fight to death against revisionism, in the revived Communist International, to sweep imperialism and reaction from the face of the earth definitively with world people's war.

We reiterate our denunciation that after May 1, 2011, after a long process of two-line struggle, we have definitively broken with the infiltrating reactionary agent, who we have called the *native Hu* since our April 2012 document Therefore, on this occasion we denounce the fact that this miserable subject continues to use the name of the "MPP," and is usurping both the Red Sun magazine and website, using them to attack Chairman Gonzalo and Gonzalo Thought, the principles of the Party and the people's war, disgustingly saying that he supports them, and to slander the revolutionary parties and organizations of the International Communist Movement (ICM) and the people's wars, which are developing in hard struggle against revisionism, against the new revisionism that wants to break them apart and lead them to capitulation.

These miserable reactionaries like the ROL of the "P.A." deny the existence of the Communist Parties that wage people's war, denying the people's war, saying that these are revisionist parties, or that they have a problem in their understanding new power, as in the concrete case of the CPIM of India, which they accuse of armed revisionism by saying that it carries out kidnappings, when these have carried out an action of the people's war and taken prisoners, legitimate action in territory where the new power

is exercised or where warlike actions are developed for any belligerent army. As in any communist party, there is a permanent two-line struggle until sometimes reaching more acute forms of internal struggle, in a fight to the death between left and right, which goes through a process of development that has to do with the development and maturity of the Party itself; these wretches who usurp the name of the “MPP” and the internet use the existence of this struggle to launch their epithets of “revisionists” and oppose any action of the parties to advance in the reunification of the communists of the world, thus fulfilling their service as lackeys of imperialism.

That is why we reject, condemn and destroy. The reactionary monster says:

It is appropriate to state again, that the PCP is struggling to reunite the RIM and the revolutionary organizations, realizing international public events through the MPP—the Magazine Red Sun, serving for Maoism to take command of the New Great Wave of the World Revolution!

So let’s quickly see what this “communiqué” maintains regarding the international situation, everything is copying quotes from academics and other representatives of imperialism, they do not use them according to how we have been taught since Marx, but they repeat everything to support their political line, thus they align themselves with the imperialist theory of “geopolitics” and repeat “geopolitical positioning,” “here is a historic geopolitical shift.” That is to say, pure imperialist and reactionary ideology and politics, thus spreading what world reaction says against what Marxism has established regarding class struggle and the fact that war is the continuation of politics by other means. They speak of financial crisis, etc., repeating the representatives of imperialism, and like those, they do not say in this “communiqué” that this is an expression of the profound economic crisis in which imperialism is struggling, or of the real economy as the reactionary authors call production, and therefore it is against Marxism. For this “communiqué,” this crisis does not express the greatest economic collapse of imperialism at the moment of its general and ultimate crisis, of its sweeping away by the world revolution. They speak of the fact that the last crises have produced the “concentration of more wealth in fewer hands,” and then “the spread of economic power around the world.” So where does that leave us? Apparently, these two contrary reasonings are nonsense. But why do they do it? To spread the supposed benefits of “globalization,” which has not only benefited Yankee imperialism but the whole world, originating the economic growth of all countries, as in the so-called BRICS countries (Brazil, Russia, India, China. South Africa has been added as well.), as Jim O’Neill, the inventor of this acronym BRICs at the end of 2001, maintains in his book *Building Better Global Economic BRICs*. This is what they say and what they say in the “communiqué” in regards to the hoax; we are blaming them for a reason. What they seek is therefore to veil the sharp contradictions of imperialism. That is why, following their favorite reactionary authors, they defend the revisionist theory of “super-imperialism” as does the ROL,

and together with the ROL they are in favor of the loss of world hegemony of Yankee imperialism in service of the so-called “bipolar world.” In the “communiqué,” they maintain: *“Yankee imperialism showing itself incapable of unilaterally imposing its own goals upon the world. The loss of its role as sole gendarme leads to a new division of the world in which the collusion and conflict between the imperialist powers is developed with an unprecedented militarization, preparing for the division of the world.”*

This it tries to deny without any basis whatsoever, only following the imperialist propaganda of its academics and other representatives. They thus pretend to deny that Yankee imperialism is the sole hegemonic superpower and world counter-revolutionary gendarme; that as such, everything it does is to maintain that hegemony, to maintain and expand the present world order in its favor against all the other imperialists; and that as the police of the world—and not “sole” as the authors of the reactionary monster say—it heads the world counter-revolution in its capacity as hegemonic, it intervenes throughout the world against the revolution.

They and the ROL want to cover our eyes and to not fight Yankee imperialism as the main enemy of the peoples of the world, which is even worse if we are in Latin America. With this they deny that it intervenes against the revolution everywhere, sometimes directly and sometimes indirectly, in accordance with the revolution’s advance. Therefore, according to them, it does not intervene in Peru either. With this black line they say that “their MPP” is serving to impose Maoism. They are shameless frauds.

As in the ROL communiqué cited above, they are supporters of the “bipolarity” theory and there no longer being Yankee hegemony. For the ROL, this “bipolarity” is between the USA on the one hand and China on the other. While for these frauds it would be between Yankee imperialism, on the one hand, and China-Russia, on the other.

But what is the situation? Although Russia has had a certain recovery in the present decade, based on its natural resources, and maintains its equivalence as atomic superpower with Yankee imperialism, it is not in a position to dispute Yankee hegemony in all the seas, continents, etc., as it did in the times when it was social-imperialist. Russia is losing more and more of its industrial capacity every day and is more dependent on the export of oil and gas. The whole military offensive unleashed by Yankee imperialism in the last twenty years shows how it imposes its hegemony and struggles to maintain it, how it advances in its encirclement against Russia and how they are fighting for atomic supremacy with the “anti-missile shield.” This does not imply that the Yankee imperialists are alone and that if they raise their hand the whole world will tremble; that is revisionism akin to the likes of Khrushchev. Of course there is a struggle between the other imperialists to become superpowers, and to take the hegemony from Yankee imperialism. There are imperialist powers that, in the midst of general collapse, final crisis, and sweeping away, advance in their purposes. This is reflected in the consciousness of the representatives of imperialism, as for example

when one of them says: "...treat the growth of others' capabilities relative to our own as direct threats to our hegemony."²² That is why the siege against Russia, the atomic superpower, is still increasing in pressure.

And with respect to social-imperialist China, first it has an export economy too dependent on the U.S. market and based mainly on the "*maquila* industry,"²³ on the surrender of the labor force of the Chinese proletariat to the voracity of imperialist finance capital and with the surpluses it obtains from its exports it buys U.S. debt bonds (from the Federal Reserve); by focusing on exports, its case is similar to the case of Russia; this fact determines that its economic growth is distorted, i.e. it is not oriented to internal development. In other words, transitory florescence and serious problems that are already occurring with the further deepening of the world economic crisis. China is not yet in a position to dispute the political and military hegemony of Yankee imperialism in all seas and continents. That is why in the other continents it applies a diplomacy that is presented as "soft power." For example, they are just starting their space race, 60 years behind the USA and Russia.

Additionally, regarding the economy and hegemony of Yankee imperialism, as the currency dealer O'Neill puts it, but here he gets it right: "*The development of the U.S. dollar in relation to other major currencies reflects the fact that productivity in the United States is still higher than in the other major nations. From there the United States draws the capital needed to balance its deficit trade balance.*" That was published in 2011 and is confirmed by recent news reports that the cost of interest on U.S. government debt bonds has been steadily declining from 4% at the beginning of 2011 to 1.6% in July of this year. The Wall Street Journal comments on August 13, 2012: "...investors are paying the government to keep their money safe."; "If you needed to fly into the safety of a country, the U.S. was the best of the worst." (that is, they have no other choice, the floor is sinking everywhere); that is why "...turmoil in Greece, Spain or Italy sends investors rushing into the safe arms of the U.S. government."²⁴

But what is truly happening in regards to the collusion and conflict between Yankee imperialism and Chinese social-imperialism?

What is happening is that China is beginning to change the military situation in the region, starting with the "China Sea"; On the one hand, they are increasing their military presence around China, but this cannot go any further because it would lead them to a direct confrontation, and the time has not yet come for that. Therefore, we have seen how steps have been taken to implement the strategy established for the region since the Nixon era ("Guam Doctrine"), Obama and Clinton's trips to Pakistan,

²²Chas Freeman, former Yankee diplomat, "Why America's China Strategy Is All Wrong and How to Fix It," The Atlantic.

²³Red Library: *Maquila* is a duty or tariff-free factory.

²⁴Red Library: The Wall Street Journal, Sudeep Reddy, "Troubles Abroad Keep Cash Flowing to U.S.," August 12, 2012. <https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB10000872396390443517104577575581025953926>

India, Japan, South Korea and other ASEAN²⁵ member countries, while moving closer to Australia, are clear evidence of how the Yankee imperialists want to maintain the status quo in Asia and contain China. Despite the problems and major crisis that Yankee imperialism is going through, it has not been displaced as the sole hegemonic superpower and world counter-revolutionary gendarme, but see how from failure to failure they continue to develop their plan to exercise and maintain hegemony. For example, Zbigniew Brzezinski, former U.S. National Security Advisor from 1977 to 1981, calls this strategy: *Balancing the East, Upgrading the West: U.S. Grand Strategy in an Age of Upheaval*, which is also the title of his latest book. When he refers to “expanding the West,” he is thinking that Europe should include Russia, presumably by applying similar forms of intervention as we currently see, but applied to the specific conditions in Russia. As to the former, he says: “*The U.S. role in Asia should be that of regional balancer, replicating the role played by the United Kingdom in intra-European politics during the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. The United States can and should help Asian states avoid a struggle for regional domination by mediating conflicts and offsetting power imbalances among potential rivals.*”²⁶

The position of a “unipolar,” “bipolar,” world, or unilateralism, multilateralism, etc., wanting to make us believe that there is only one imperialism, that imperialism has only one pole or different parts. If there is not only one pole that makes the decisions of the world government, this is more democratic, that is the background of this, as we said to veil the deep contradictions of imperialism, its monopolist, parasitic and decomposing character, which is sinking in the middle of immense genocides that it unleashes all over the world, wars of all kinds. These are therefore terms invented by imperialist diplomacy, which wants us to believe in a world governance composed of the United Nations, NATO, the IMF, the World Bank, etc. But we cannot repeat the story that tries to hide the fact that these institutions are instruments of Yankee imperialism, established alongside the predominance of the dollar as a consequence of World War II, which Yankee imperialism emerged as the hegemonic imperialist superpower from. These international institutions are instruments used by the U.S.A. in the exercise of its hegemony in collusion and conflict with the other imperialist powers. When some of the other five permanent members of the UN Security Council²⁷ veto a Yankee proposal, they will in any case seek to apply their plan, their aggression or whatever, using other means, that is, leaving aside their own instrument, as we are seeing at the moment with the situation in Syria and Iran and with the same application of the anti-missile shields plan which they are complementing with some variations, they have already extended it to the Middle East and with this they cover a wider area. In other words, as another representative of Yankee imperialism points out, this game of unilateralism or multilateralism or whatever you want to call it, is produced in these

²⁵Red Library: ASEAN Stands for The Association of Southeast Asian Nations.

²⁶Foreign Affairs, Vol. 91, No. 1 January/February 2012, page 101.

²⁷Red Library: The five permanent members, both in 2012 when this article was written, and in 2024 when this footnote was written, are China, France, Russia, the United Kingdom, and the U.S.

institutions when Yankee imperialism does not use its “leadership.” That is what the electoral campaign of the genocidal Obama is being accused of by his Republican rivals. In other words, it is a question of which is better for imperialism, the “carrot or the stick.” It is therefore a question of domestic policy on the one hand, and of how to apply their plans according to how the situation is going for them on the other. But they, like all other imperialists, are at the moment of their collapse and always march from failure to failure until their final doom, that is the law of imperialism as laid down by Chairman Mao.

But these phonies in the “communiqué” are so cynical that they dare to maintain that: *“It is necessary to form a great anti-imperialist movement, firmly striving for it to be guided by Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, principally Maoism...”* We have already denounced everything about “their” Marxism, people’s war, and everything else that these wretches say in the quote to cover up their real purposes. For the moment we believe it is enough, we refer to our previous paragraphs in this regard. But it is worth saying something about “form[ing] a great anti-imperialist movement...” On this matter, first off, they are not anti-imperialists, but rather embellishers of Yankee imperialism and servants of its counter-revolutionary role of world police or gendarme. However, the national liberation movement will not be formed neither by these wretches nor by any other upstart. It already exists, it is a reality of this era of the world proletarian revolution that we are developing in. It is one of the currents of the International Communist Movement. The question is to put Maoism as its sole command and guide, developing people’s war led by the Communist Parties. The International Line of the PCP has stated: **“There exist two currents that operate in the international Communist movement: The international proletarian movement and the national liberation movement. The first leads and the second is the base. [The National Liberation Movement] takes place in the oppressed nations against imperialism and reaction. Lenin... concluded that revolution is shifting to the oppressed nations, but this fact does not negate the revolution in Europe... laid the strategic foundations of the world revolution to undermine imperialism by linking the struggle of the national liberation movement with the struggles of the international proletarian movement in order to develop the revolution. Although the slogan for communists is “Proletarians of all countries, unite!”, he proposed the slogan that must guide the struggle of the two forces: “Proletarians of all countries and peoples of the world, unite!” Chairman Mao Tsetung developed Lenin’s strategy based on the great significance the national liberation movement has for the world revolution since imperialism plunders ever more from the oppressed nations, which in turn rise-up in powerful revolutionary storms that must be led by their communist parties. Thus, the national liberation movement is fused to the international proletarian movement and these two forces propel the development of world history. Chairman Gonzalo teaches that the strategy that communists**

must follow should be based on the thesis laid down by Lenin and developed by Chairman Mao.”²⁸

And then they will say as cynical and devious as they are, that they are: “...struggling to reunite the RIM and the revolutionary organizations, realizing international public events through the MPP – the Magazine Red Sun, serving for Maoism to take command of the New Great Wave of the World Revolution! Particularly seeing the fundamental point in Maoism that is the power, we consider that on this point is where some Communist parties have problems when it comes to continuing the development of the people’s war in their countries. The current international situation allows for a bold advance of the Communists.”

The representatives of the parties and organizations inside and outside of the RIM know directly who this subject and his thinning accomplices are. The only thing they do is to attack the parties and organizations of the ICM from both the right and the left, and try to make the international campaign for the people’s war in India fail, and therefore try to make all the coordination efforts of the Maoists at the international level fail as well. That is why some of the representatives of the parties say: “they only look like traffickers.” Yes, they even appear to be counter-revolutionary traffickers. All of this subject’s work, since he appeared in these parts, has been directed not only to liquidate the MPP but to serve the liquidation of the RIM, and to isolate the PCP from the parties and organizations of the ICM, but he has only succeeded in isolating himself, and this done consciously because he cannot answer any question posed to him about the real situation of the Party and the people’s war. The parties and organizations know that a representative of the PCP to speak with them has to give a report that follows the guidelines that Chairman Gonzalo himself has consigned in all the published Party documents. Not like those which claim to contain these “directives” or “communiqués” like this one, or lists of actions taken from the reactionary press in the absence of a real Party report on the fulfillment of the plans, campaigns, and actions of the people’s war.

If a certain or supposed directive or communiqué, as in the one that we are currently blasting, is opposed to the principles of the Party and the people’s war, we have to reject it, mark it, burn it, and explode it into a thousand pieces. Chairman Mao teaches us that it is right and necessary to rebel against reactionaries. Contrary to this, what the agents of imperialism have done in the ranks of the Communist Parties, that is to say, the revisionists and their infiltrating agents, is to resort to party discipline to isolate the left to then try to liquidate it; this way of trying to counterpose party discipline with the philosophy of struggle, the principle of going against the tide, a contradiction that in no way is antagonistic while the leadership adheres to the position, method and conception of the proletariat, becomes antagonistic when there is a change of leadership. To draw a lesson with the negative example of what happened with these “directives,” “communiqués,” and supposed “representatives of the party,” in reality

²⁸Communist Party of Peru, International Line, First Congress.

agents of reaction that have substituted the true tie of the party, and false “CC.” It is a bitter lesson from which not only the members of the MPP, but all the communists and revolutionaries of the world must learn a lesson through negative example.

Celebrate the 20th Anniversary of Chairman Gonzalo’s Speech that Shines Victorious and Vigorous Before the World as a Weapon of Combat!

We call upon all comrades and companions to celebrate, next September 24, the 20th anniversary of Chairman Gonzalo’s Speech with actions that will serve to develop the two united campaigns, the campaign for Maoism and as an inseparable part of it the campaign for the defense of Chairman Gonzalo’s great leadership, leader of the Party and the revolution, the greatest living Marxist-Leninist-Maoist on Earth, continuator of Marx, Lenin, and Chairman Mao, center of Party unification and guarantee of victory until communism, and for the defense of Gonzalo Thought. Therefore, we call upon all the forces that were mobilized by the campaign to defend the life of Chairman Gonzalo, as well as the new forces that have emerged and those who are for communism, to celebrate this anniversary of Chairman Gonzalo’s Speech that shines victorious and powerful before the world as a weapon of combat. And this we are going to do in several countries coordinating with different parties and organizations of the International Communist Movement; we want it to be a conscious celebration of the role of Chairman Gonzalo, as continuator of Marx, Lenin, and Chairman Mao. We also want this new celebration to serve to put one more grain of sand in the coordination and joint action of communists and revolutionaries at the international level to unite under Maoism on the road of the people’s war, fighting irreconcilably and inseparably against imperialism, reaction, and revisionism at the world level.

That is why we want to conclude this document, now that we are at a decisive moment for the development of the new great wave of the world proletarian revolution, with the appeal that Chairman Gonzalo made to us on September 24, 1992 in his Speech: **“Finally, listen to this. As we see in the world, Maoism is marching relentlessly forward to lead the new wave of the world proletarian revolution. Listen well and understand. Those who have ears, use them. Those who have understanding—and we all have it—use it! Enough nonsense! Enough confusion! Understand this! What is unfolding in the world? What do we need! We need Maoism to be a living force, and this is happening. We need Maoism to generate Communist Parties to lead this new great wave of the world proletarian revolution that is coming.”**

Long live Chairman Gonzalo!

Long live Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, Gonzalo Thought!

Long live the Communist Party of Peru!

The people's war will inevitably triumph!

We salute from here the future birth of the People's Republic of Peru!