

Support the Struggle of the Arab People!*

Peru People's Movement

March 2011

Preface

Given the increasingly greater and more blatant intervention of Yankee imperialism in Niger under the pretext of “restoring democracy,” we republish this important document from the MPP (Peru People's Movement) of 2011 against Yankee intervention in Libya and Africa, which remains highly relevant.

But first, as an introductory note from the bourgeois press:

“United States, which still maintains a thousand soldiers in Niger, has taken the initiative to try to find a way out of the dangerous situation created by the coup d'état on July 26th in the African country. In addition to sending a high-ranking emissary to Niamey to negotiate with the military junta, U.S. Secretary of State Antony Blinken reiterated yesterday that the diplomatic path is ‘preferable’ to armed intervention.

The American involvement in Niger reflects the concern of the Biden Administration regarding the possibility of Moscow further advancing its pawns in the Sahel, which has become a sort of second front in the showdown that Putin is waging against the West in Ukraine, another expression of the new Cold War of the 21st century. The move taken by Washington also highlights the helplessness of France, burdened by colonial resentments and the

*<https://vnd-peru.blogspot.com/2023/08/documento-historico-del-mpp-contra-la.html>

frustrating experience of its recent counter-terrorism deployments in various Sahel countries.”

This cynical imperialist genocida is trying to cover up his blatant intervention in Niger as diplomatic efforts. Let’s read what he said:

“Blinken emphasized that ‘we are acting diplomatically to sustain these efforts,’ and at no point did he mention military support, not even logistical support, in the event of a potential use of force, nor did he cite the ultimatum set by the neighboring countries.

The Secretary of State indicated that he was in constant contact with the leaders of ECOWAS and other African countries, as well as ‘with our partners in Europe, including France.’ ‘What we see in Niger is devastating and offers nothing to the country and its people,’ he continued. ‘On the contrary, this disruption of the constitutional order puts us and other countries in a position where we must suspend our aid to Niger, which will not fix anything for the people of Niger.’ ”

- *The Editorial of Association New Democracy - Germany. August 14, 2023.*

Support the Struggle of the Arab People!

Support the struggle of the Arab people!

We reject the military intervention of Yankee imperialism and Libya’s partition plan

We condemn the yankee imperialist military aggression against Libya

We condemn: this new aggression against an oppressed country is aimed at securing control over strategic sources of raw materials and energy in the region, among other goals. The imperialists have absolutely no interest in the fate of the Arab people or anyone else. They also don’t care whether the people of Libya and other Arab countries are subjugated under fascist and genocidal regimes like Gaddafi’s in Libya; it never mattered to them. Now, especially, imperialism is taking advantage of the ongoing crisis in Arab countries to pursue its own objectives of becoming the sole hegemonic superpower,

in the face of the threat from the other nuclear superpower: Russia, which has increased its presence in this part of the world. Above all, there's the growing threat from Chinese social-imperialism, whose proponents "travel across Africa with an open wallet to buy everything."

This military-imperialist aggression once again demonstrates the true objectives behind all the rhetoric of the genocidal regime of Obama regarding his "new doctrine of national security," which he has dubbed the "Obama Doctrine." As some have pointed out, it contains "a set of flexible rules" that do not prevent it from applying "the most suitable course of action" at all times to defend the world hegemony of Yankee imperialism. It attempts to conceal its aggressive and interventionist nature with expressions like: "We do not dictate solutions, but we defend our values," meaning it exploits existing conflicts in countries and regions for its own benefit in order to implement its plan of imposing a new world division. Therefore, this is a continuation of the imperialist aggression war that imperialism has been conducting under Bush against the peoples of the Third World.

Under this cloak of "defending our values," they take advantage of crises, such as those in North Africa and other Arab countries, for political intervention. First, in the cases of Egypt and Tunisia, and later it leads to overt military intervention against Libya. While Bahrain is being attacked by its Saudi accomplices, the Zionist-fascists of Israel are escalating their genocidal activities against the Palestinian people, and the Americans themselves are becoming more involved in Yemen.

The countries of Northern Africa and other Arab nations, viewed as semi-feudal and semi-colonial states, are experiencing a general crisis worsened by the world imperialist crisis. The imperialist crisis impacts them due to their oppressed status, exacerbating the suffering and hardship of the masses, leading to protests and struggles. Furthermore, the escalation of contradictions among imperialist powers intensifies the conflict between factions within the national ruling classes. This sharpens the conflict between the comprador faction and the bureaucratic faction of the bourgeoisie, as they vie for control over the state apparatus, starting with the executive branch.

The masses mobilize heroically as an expression of the development of the revolutionary situation, but a communist party is lacking.

On our hill, as an expression of the development of the revolutionary situation (objective conditions), the masses themselves drive their struggles. This serves the development of the new great wave of the world proletarian revolution. The masses in these countries have always fought against the

three mountains oppressing them, but now with even more reason: imperialism, semi-feudalism, and bureaucratic capitalism. All these struggles express their heroism and hatred against the fascist, genocidal, and traitorous governments that in most cases lead these states. But despite all the heroism in these struggles, as in this instance, at most they manage to overthrow the tyrant from power, meaning the introduction of one faction or another with their representatives. Yet, the people do not achieve anything significant. The democratic revolution against the three oppressive mountains does not advance, not because there is no mass movement willing to make any sacrifice for liberation, but because there is no revolutionary party capable of leading it. That is to say, the Communist Party with its application of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, principally Maoism, to the concrete conditions of the revolution in its own country, in order to initiate and develop the people's war to carry the democratic revolution to its conclusion. In this situation, the masses are always the battleground between revolution and counter-revolution. What the reactionaries and imperialism seek is for mass movements to follow them, so they can exploit the masses for their insidious purposes.

But while the current situation of the people and the revolution in Arab countries presents itself as such, the most advanced members of the class and the people will assess the current struggle and its results. The forces that will work to build or rebuild the Communist Party will mature to drive the democratic revolution. They will develop and transform the struggles that will evolve into a people's war of resistance against imperialism. They will once again see that without the party's leadership, the democratic revolution cannot advance. Despite all their momentary achievements, the fruits of their struggles will fall into the hands of their enemies. The enemy will ride upon the masses and will increase their presence in the government and parliament of the state (landlord-bureaucratic at the service of imperialism): the representatives of factions of the big native bourgeoisie, who are lackeys in the service of the imperialist superpower or one imperialist power or another. In other words, the change of authorities of the repressive state to determine who will oppress the popular masses from thereon and onwards. To achieve this, the triumphant reactionary faction must exchange the natural resources, the country's wealth, and the labor force of the masses in favor of their imperialist masters and a handful of bureaucratic capital parasites.

The way Yankee imperialism is intervening in this crisis is not new.

The political intervention of imperialism in crisis situations, like this one,

to control mass movements is one of the components of the so-called “Low-Intensity Conflict” (LIC). The “LIC” was created by the Reagan administration to fight for world hegemony and to act as a counter-revolutionary world police force. According to one of its components (political intervention in crisis situations), one must learn to accurately assess the situation so that, if necessary, the hated dictator is removed, but the regime remains. For the enemy, the ideal scenario would be for indigenous elements of their own regime to switch sides at the last moment and present themselves as the standard-bearers of an alternative regime, ensuring the stability of the state and allowing them to seize control, along with a portion of the opposition’s credibility. In this way, the United States could support the unpopular regime until the last moment, encourage the described development, and, in any case, claim credibility for itself (see Halliday, Fred: *The Reagan Doctrine and the Third World*. In: Transnational Institute (TNI). Amsterdam 1987). Today, as we can see, this component of their “LIC” is being applied to the crisis in North Africa to achieve a positive outcome according to their plans, leading things in a certain direction, one could say: following the manual (as outlined in their doctrine written in the 1980s). This is what they do to prevent Tunisia, Egypt, etc., from finding a solution to mass mobilization through a regime or a situation that affects their interests. They use their “LIC” to change governments - depending on the conditions presented in each Arab country - and promote the comprador faction of the big bourgeoisie to the apex of the state, so that they can carry out the so-called “democratic and economic changes... Promote development.” As Bush said at the beginning of the Iraq War. In other words, a change of government is implemented to carry out the privatization of everything held by these states, but also - through “corruption” - what is held by these concentrated “tyrants” to be distributed among a handful of imperialist and domestic bloodsuckers. It’s clear, especially with the American monopolies and their lackeys. Thus, American imperialism and the servile armies of Egypt and Tunisia present themselves as masters of change, and then, with the conditions created, through their political intervention - under the pretext of protecting civilians from the fascist and genocidal Gaddafi (it’s not primarily and above all for overthrowing him, they confessed) - intervene and militarily interfere in Libya, tearing the country apart.

The yankee imperialists carry out their plans to take their imperialist war of aggression to all of Africa.

As we warned in our March 2003 statement condemning the aggressive

war against Iraq, the Yankee imperialists are spreading the war of aggression to Africa. This aggression is being militarily directed by the U.S. Armed Forces' AFRICOM, of which Obama is the supreme commander.

Today, they do it using "multilateralism" to unleash their aggressive objectives and try to conceal violations of the "UN Charter" that prohibits intervention and violates the sovereignty of countries. In other words, they are violating their own international rights. The Yankee imperialists use the United Nations, the League of Arab States, and the African Union as their mere tools, taking them when they are useful and abandoning them when they are not.

The intervention of the other imperialist powers in Operation Odyssey takes place amidst sharp disputes over the spoils before they achieve their objectives, and amid contradictory statements from the main actors. Calculated declarations meant to conceal their malicious intentions. Despite the theatrics of some leaders of the intervening countries to present this intervention as their own initiative, they cannot hide the fact that the intervention is that of Yankee imperialism, led by its Africom, and utilizing NATO. The Prime Minister of England, Cameron - who is the "workhorse" to overthrow the tyrant Gaddafi and assist the Libyan "rebels" - along with the other imperialist states militarily intervening against Libya, are seeking control of the oil for themselves and securing gas in this country. Additionally, Cameron is attempting to use the war to cover up his government's failure to stimulate the economy through public spending cuts and the fight against inflation under the pretext of high oil costs. Just as the bombs were falling on Libya, his government announced its unpopular new budget. The cursed "dwarf" Sarkozy, as the head of French imperialism, is trying to present himself as the political leader of the attack. If he and Berlusconi, the head of Italian imperialism, are in a difficult situation in this crisis due to the political intervention of the Yankees with their "LIC": one was relegated to the second line in Tunisia, and the other was defeated in Libya. But let's not fall for their deception: American Africom bears the responsibility for leading the attack, as it's their command area, along with the forces of NATO and the puppets involved in this aggression. They will be accountable for violations that go beyond their 1973 resolution issued by the United Nations Security Council. So they say, "NATO will be in charge of the military operations," but "the political leadership will be shared by all participants." This way, Yankee imperialism, led by Obama, avoids public responsibility for directing its aggression. And this suits Sarkozy well, as he is trying to gain electoral

votes; he's attempting to improve his declining image for the current electoral process and the upcoming presidential elections in France in 2012. In recent weeks in France, it has been said: "only a war can save Sarkozy"; now he has his "war." This bloodthirsty "dwarf" is an expert in measures to protect "human rights of civilians" in violation of all norms of international law. Last July, he sent French troops - veiled under the flag of one of his semi-colonies, Mauritania - to Mali to rescue a French citizen. The operation failed despite its collateral damage, killing 6 people. It was a clear violation of Mali's sovereignty, its former colony, and a clear challenge to its regional rival, the United States, as the military "advisors" of that country's army. Previously, Sarkozy also intervened in the former French colony of Chad to free a group of French and Spanish individuals accused of kidnapping children. French imperialism has 5,000 military personnel in Ivory Coast under the pretext of monitoring the "peace agreement" like the United Nations "blue helmets." French imperialism and Yankee imperialism are locked in a bitter struggle for supremacy on the African continent. In addition to these imperialists, "the crucial and increasingly important role of China in Africa must be emphasized." Its political and economic impact can be felt in at least 48 out of the 53 African states, although this time it abstained in UN Security Council Resolution 1973 along with Russia and Germany. Thus, social-imperialist China, with its convoluted diplomacy, seeks to position itself as an alternative, knowing that its rivals are bound to arrive in hell. Russia abstained while using Libya as a bargaining chip. It's no coincidence that US Vice President Biden, Medvedev, and Putin discussed Libya, the World Trade Organization, and the Star-3 treaty on March 9th. German imperialism abstained from voting, following Kant's principles, and Chancellor Merkel has announced that German troops will replace the crews of AWACS aircraft and the military personnel of NATO special forces deployed in Afghanistan and Pakistan, to facilitate their use in the war against Libya.

We indicate: The imperialist aggression is mainly directed not to the overthrow of Gaddafi's fascist genocide, but to the partition of Libya.

Keeping Gaddafi in power, for now, allows the imperialists to justify the division of the country into zones of influence. According to their sinister agenda, the imperialists plan to dismantle the country. That's why they have already announced that France and England have a "peace offer," which can only mean sending aggressor troops to occupy the country like the United Nations "blue berets." Specifically, this entails dividing the country into: one part (the oil and gas-rich part) controlled by the forces of the "rebels"

- meaning the opposition divided between the supporters of the imperialist superpower and the invading imperialist powers - and the other part (the less rich in oil and gas) where the forces of the treacherous genocidal fascist land-selling Gaddafi will remain, sponsored by other imperialist powers. Furthermore, with the general militarization of this part of the Mediterranean, the American imperialists are achieving another objective of their intervention in the current crisis in North Africa: securing strategic positions to further develop their hegemonic plans.

We firmly believe that imperialist aggression and its plans will repeatedly clash against the heroic resistance of the people of Libya and all Arab nations, causing the imperialist aggression to ignite the hatred of peoples all over the world. The people of this country will crush the lackeys of the imperialists, will strive for national unity, and through continuous resistance, will deliver powerful blows to the aggressors. Ultimately, the people will achieve victory, and Yankee imperialism and all other imperialists will surely be defeated.

To serve this path - to ensure the triumph of the liberation struggle and the culmination of the democratic revolution - means that the revolutionaries of these countries must assume, assimilate, embody, defend, and apply Maoism to lead the construction or reconstruction of the Communist Party, as the case may be, as a Marxist-Leninist-Maoist party, in order to promote armed resistance struggle, with the aim of turning it into a people's war of resistance against imperialism, to crush the three mountains that oppress the people: imperialism, semi-feudalism, and bureaucratic capitalism, and with unrelenting struggle against revisionism, as a part and in service of the world revolution.

**Support the Arab people!
Yankee go home!**